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Welcome to the Oversight Board Meeting

City of Bell and staff welcome you. This is your City Government. Individual participation is a basic part of American Democracy and all Bell residents are encouraged to attend meetings of the City Council. Oversight Board meetings are held in the Bell Council Chambers, 6330 Pine Avenue. For more information, you may call City Hall during regular business hours 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday at (323) 588-6211 Extension 205.

Addressing the Oversight Board

If you wish to speak to the Oversight Board on any item which is listed or not listed on the Oversight Board Agenda, please complete a Request to Speak Card available in the meeting room. Please submit the completed card prior to the meeting.

Compliance with Americans with Disabilities Act

The City of Bell, in complying with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), request individuals who require special accommodation(s) to access, attend, and or participate in a City meeting due to disability. Please contact the City Clerk’s Office, (323) 588-6211, Ext. 205, at least one business day prior to the scheduled meeting to insure that we may assist you.
OVERSIGHT BOARD TO THE SUCCESSION AGENCY TO THE COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF BELL

SPECIAL MEETING AGENDA

CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

THURSDAY, APRIL 24, 2014
5:30 P.M.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Oversight Board to the Successor Agency to the Bell Community Redevelopment Agency of the City of Bell will hold a Special Meeting on April 24, 2014 at 5:30 P.M., at Bell City Hall to consider the following:

CALL TO ORDER

Pledge of Allegiance
Roll Call
Changes to the Agenda

ANNOUNCEMENTS

PRESENTATIONS, APPOINTMENTS AND PROCLAMATIONS

PUBLIC COMMENTS

This is the time for the public to address the Oversight Board on issues within the jurisdiction of the Oversight Board that are on this agenda. All comments are to be directed to the Oversight Board and shall not consist of any personal attacks. Members of the public are expected to maintain a professional, courteous decorum during their comments. There is a time limitation of three minutes per person. If you haven’t already done so, please fill out name and address slips and give them to the Secretary to the Oversight Board. The Oversight Board is prohibited by State law from taking action or discussing items not included on the printed agenda. Public comments on specific agenda items will be deferred until consideration of the item on the agenda.

ELECTION OF CHAIRPERSON

1. Election of Chairperson of the Oversight Board in accordance with the bylaws of the Oversight Board

CONSENT CALENDAR

1. Approval of Minutes of the February 27, 2014 Oversight Board Meeting
ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT CALENDAR FOR DISCUSSION

DISCUSSION AND POTENTIAL ACTION ITEMS

1. Consideration of Resolution No. 2014-03 OB receiving and convening a public comment session for the Due Diligence Reviews of the low and moderate income housing fund and other funds

2. Consideration of Resolution No. 2014-04 OB approving transfer of governmental use property located at 4357 Gage Avenue to the City of Bell

3. General update on ROPS 14-15A Meet and Confer items

4. Oversight Board Communications

ADJOURNMENT

I, Janet Martinez, Interim City Clerk of the City of Bell, certify that a true and accurate copy of the forgoing agenda was posted on February 22, 2014, at least 24 hours prior to the meeting as required by law.

Janet Martinez
Interim City Clerk
MINUTES
Special Meeting
Bell Oversight Board
Thursday, February 27, 2014
Bell City Council Chambers
6330 Pine Avenue

Called to Order by Oversight Board Chair Quintana at 5:24 P.M.

Roll call of the Oversight Board:

Present: Oversight Board members Quintana, Sanchez, Vasquez and Fong (4)

Absent: Cisneros, Walsh, Moret (3)

Communications from the Public

None

Approval of Meeting Minutes

By consensus, the Oversight Board approved the minutes from the September 23, 2013 Oversight Board meeting.

General Updates on Long Range Property Management Plan and Due Diligence Reviews:

Successor Agency staff stated that the Due Diligence Reviews (DDR’s) are almost complete. After they are complete, the Long-Range Property Management Plan (LRPMP) can be completed. Rosenow-Spevacek Group is working with Staff to complete the Plan.

Review and Approval of the Successor Agency ROPS:

Ms. Acosta mentioned that there are some new line-items on the ROPS including costs for the Werlein property demolition and $75,000 for housing authority administration costs per AB 471.

Chairperson Quintana asked Ms. Acosta to introduce herself and explain that she is replacing Matt McCleary as the Successor Agency consultant.

Boardmember Vasquez asked what constitutes a housing asset. Ms. Acosta defined a housing asset as an asset purchased or used by the former-redevelopment agency for housing purposes. Chairperson Quintana asked if the housing assets will be included on the LRPMP. Ms. Acosta explained that housing assets have already been transferred to the housing authority and would not be on the LRPMP.

Chairperson Quintana requested that Ms. Acosta explain each item on the ROPS. Ms. Acosta went through each ROPS obligation individually.

Boardmember Vasquez asked about the status of the DDR’s. Successor Agency staff explained
that the reports were almost complete.

Chairperson Quintana asked whether the pension override obligation is a revived item and how the total obligation amount was determined. Ms. Acosta explained that the pension override obligation was denied by DOF and is being revived on ROPS 14-15A in protest. Ms. Acosta explained that the total outstanding amount includes the estimate for the current period and any outstanding balances from past years.

Successor Agency staff showed slides of the Werlein Property to explain the need for demolition. Boardmember Vasquez asked if the property would be immediately demolished if the obligation was approved on the ROPS. Staff explained that the Successor Agency would still have to approve the demolition, seek bids, and enter into a contract, so no, the demolition would not occur immediately. Chairperson Quintana asked how the estimate was derived. Staff explained that the estimate was based on informal proposals submitted by contractors. Boardmember Vasquez asked if the Werlein property would be listed on the LRPMP. Staff responded yes.

Ms. Acosta explained the process for sale of properties listed on the LRPMP and stated that the Oversight Board will be allowed to approve the sale of all properties on the LRPMP.

Boardmember Vasquez asked if the Successor Agency has been receiving the RPTTF it has been asking for. Ms. Acosta stated that it was.

**Motion to approve the Resolution to approve the ROPS14-15A**
**In Favor: Vasquez, Sanchez, Quintana, and Fong**
**Opposed: none**
**Abstain: none**

**Oversight Board Communications**

None

**Meeting Adjourned:** 5:52 pm
DATE: April 24, 2014

TO: Honorable Oversight Board Members

FROM: Successor Agency Staff

APPROVED BY: ____________________________
Doug Willmore, City Manager

SUBJECT: Resolution No. 2014-03 OB receiving and convening a public comment session for the Due Diligence Reviews of the low and moderate income housing fund and other funds

RECOMMENDED ACTION

It is recommended that the Oversight Board:

1. Adopt Resolution No. 2014-03 OB receiving and convening a public comment session for the Due Diligence Reviews of the low and moderate income housing fund and other funds; and

2. Receive comments from the public on the Due Diligence Reviews

BACKGROUND

One of the primary goals of Assembly Bills x1-26 and 1484, together known as the "Dissolution Act," is to seize all unencumbered redevelopment funds (exclusive of bond proceeds) that were held by redevelopment agencies. These unencumbered funds are to be remitted to the County Auditor Controller for distribution to all affected taxing agencies, according to their proportional general levy share. In order to determine the amount of unencumbered fund balance, two Due Diligence Reviews (DDRs) must be prepared by independent auditors for all successor agencies pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34179.5. The first DDR is strictly for the former Redevelopment Agency Housing Set Aside Fund (the Housing DDR), and the second is for all other funds (Non-Housing DDR).

The DDRs must be conducted by professional accounting firms according to a set of procedures generally designed to track fund and asset transfers, fund balances, revenues, and expenditures of redevelopment agencies from January 1, 2011 until June 30, 2012. Ultimately, each DDR results in a calculation of unencumbered fund balances, in addition to identifying certain expenditures or transfers that are disallowed by the Dissolution Act. Upon completion, the DDRs must be submitted to the Oversight Board for approval. As required by the Dissolution Act...
Act, the Oversight Board must meet not less than two times regarding the DDRs: (1) at one meeting to receive the report and convene a public comment session (today’s agenda item), and (2) at a second meeting to consider the public comments and the results/opinions of the County Auditor Controller to the DDR’s (if any), consider approval of the DDR’s. The Oversight Board has the ability to adjust amounts identified in the DDRs to reflect any additional information or analysis. Once approved, the DDRs are transmitted to the State Department of Finance (DOF), the State Controller, and the County Auditor Controller. DOF will review submitted DDRs, and may approve, modify, or reject them. If a successor agency disagrees with modification or rejection of a DDR, it may request a Meet and Confer to provide additional information to the DOF.

Once the DDRs are approved by DOF, unencumbered funds identified by the DDRs must be remitted to the County Auditor Controller. This step is required in order to obtain a Finding of Completion, which allows successor agencies to move forward in the dissolution process, including repayment of legitimate City loans, and submittal of a Long Range Property Management Plan (LRPMP), which can be used to transfer and/or dispose of property held by the successor agency.

Penalties for failure to remit unencumbered fund balances are somewhat nebulous at this time. Assembly Bill 1484 originally provided that DOF could order withholdings of sales tax or property tax revenues from the city that created the former redevelopment agency, but this portion of the legislation failed in a recent court challenge initiated by the City of Vallejo, where the court found such withholdings to be a violation of the State Constitution. The legislation also states that property tax may be withheld from a successor agency, which has not yet been ordered by DOF and consequently not challenged in court. It is unclear if this penalty would affect more than just the administrative allowance, as other withholdings could violate contract law.

DISCUSSION & FISCAL IMPACT

Both DDRs cover the period January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012. The Housing DDR was due October 1, 2012, and the Non-Housing DDR on December 15, 2012. Given the backlog of audit work required of the City, these deadlines could not be met. Staff communicated this information to the DOF to inform them of progress.

Both DDRs were completed by Macias, Gini & O’Connell, the City of Bell’s auditor, in October 2013. Given the relative uncertainty as to the cash holdings of the former Housing Fund, it was decided to delay action on the DDRs until the City’s FY 2013 audit was completed. The audit was published on March 31, 2014.

While the Non-Housing DDR identified a negative fund balance that has since been ameliorated through the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPs) funding process, the Housing DDR identified an unencumbered fund balance of $2,963,344. This latter amount, according to the Dissolution Act, should be remitted to the County Auditor Controller. The City’s FY 2013 revealed that the former housing fund possesses cash assets of $2,975,414, an amount sufficient to pay the obligation.
ATTACHMENTS

1. Housing Due Diligence Review
2. Non-Housing Due Diligence Review
RESOLUTION NO. 2014-03 OB

A RESOLUTION OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD TO THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE DISSOLVED BELL COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY RECEIVING AND CONVENING A PUBLIC COMMENT SESSION FOR THE DUE DILIGENCE REVIEWS OF THE LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUND AND OTHER FUNDS AND TAKING RELATED ACTIONS REQUIRED BY SECTIONS 34179.5 AND 34179.6 OF THE DISSOLUTION ACT

WHEREAS, the Bell Successor Agency ("Successor Agency") is a public body, corporate and politic, organized and existing under the California Community Redevelopment Law (Health & Safety Code §§ 33000 et seq.); and

WHEREAS, the City of Bell is a municipal corporation and a charter city organized and existing under the Constitution of the State of California ("City"); and

WHEREAS, on December 29, 2011, the California Supreme Court issued its opinion in the case California Redevelopment Association, et al. v. Ana Matosants, etc., et al., Case No. S196881, and upheld the validity of Assembly Bill x1 26 ("ABx1 26") and invalidated Assembly Bill x1 27; and

WHEREAS, the Court’s decision results in the implementation of ABx1 26 which dissolves all the redevelopment agencies in the State of California as of February 1, 2012; and

WHEREAS, the City is, by operation of law, the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency for purposes of winding-down the Redevelopment Agency under ABx1 26 and AB 1484; and

WHEREAS, Health & Safety Code Section 34179.5 requires the Successor Agency to employ a licensed accountant to perform a due diligence review for the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund ("LMIHF") and a due diligence review for all other funds (collectively the “due diligence reviews”) and report on the amount of funds transferred from the former Redevelopment Agency; and

WHEREAS, on August 30, 2012, the Department of Finance ("DOF") posted on its official website the agreed-upon procedures to conduct the due diligence reviews; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of the agreed-upon procedures and provisions of H&SC Section 34179.5, Macias, Gini & O’Connell has completed the due diligence reviews, copies of which are attached hereto and incorporated by this reference; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to H&SC Section 34179.6(b), upon receipt of the due diligence reviews, and at least five business days before the Oversight Board considers its approval, the Oversight Board must hold a public comment session at which time the public has an opportunity to hear and be heard on the results of the due diligence reviews, and at which time the Oversight Board considers the opinions, if any, offered by the County Auditor-Controller on the results of the due diligence reviews; and
WHEREAS, on the date of this Resolution, the Oversight Board will hold the public comment session on the due diligence reviews pursuant to H&SC Section 34179.6(b); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to H&SC Section 34179(h), written notice and information about all actions taken by the Oversight Board shall be provided to the DOF by electronic means and in a manner of DOF’s choosing. Generally, an oversight board’s action shall become effective five (5) business days after notice in the manner specified by the DOF unless the DOF requests a review provided, however the subject report will be considered and acted on pursuant to the process and timing of H&SC Sections 34179.5 and 34179.6; and

WHEREAS, all other legal prerequisites to the adoption of this Resolution have occurred.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Oversight Board to the Successor Agency to the Dissolved Bell Community Redevelopment Agency, resolves as follows:

SECTION 1. The foregoing Recitals are true and correct and are incorporated herein.

SECTION 2. The Oversight Board hereby acknowledges receipt of the due diligence reviews prepared pursuant to H&SC Section 34179.5.

SECTION 3. The Oversight Board hereby documents that a public comment session was held on the due diligence reviews pursuant to H&SC Section 34179.6(b) on the date of this Resolution.

SECTION 4. Pursuant to Section 34179(h) as amended by Assembly Bill 1484 effective June 27, 2012, written notice and information about all actions taken by the Oversight Board shall be provided to the DOF by electronic means and in a manner of DOF’s choosing. Generally, an oversight board’s action shall become effective five (5) business days after notice in the manner specified by the DOF unless the DOF requests a review provided however the subject report will be considered and acted on pursuant to the process and timing of Sections 34179.5 and 34179.6.

PASSED, APPROVED and ADOPTED at a meeting of the Bell Oversight Board held on this 24th day of April 2014, by the following vote:

______________________________
OVERSIGHT BOARD CHAIR

ATTEST:
I, Janet Martinez, Interim City Clerk, Bell, California, hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Bell Oversight Board to the former Bell Community Redevelopment Agency at the Oversight Board meeting held on the 24th day of April, 2014 and passed by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

Oversight Board Secretary
EXHIBIT A

Due Diligence Reviews

[Attached behind this page]
SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE CITY OF BELL COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures on the Successor Agency to the City of Bell Community Redevelopment Agency, Except its Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund

As Prescribed in Section 34179.5 and 34179.6 of the California Health and Safety Code
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Independent Accountant’s Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

We have performed the procedures enumerated in Attachment A, which were agreed to by the Successor Agency to the City of Bell Community Redevelopment Agency (Successor Agency), California State Controller’s Office and California Department of Finance (collectively referred to as Specified Parties), solely to assist you in determining the balances available for transfer to taxing entities from assets transferred to the Successor Agency, excluding assets transferred from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund of the former redevelopment agency, as prescribed in Sections 34179.5 and 34179.6 of the California Health and Safety Code (Code). Management of the Successor Agency is responsible for the accounting records. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below, either for the purpose for which this report has been requested, or for any other purpose.

The scope of this engagement was limited to performing the agreed-upon procedures set forth in Attachment A. Attachment A also identifies the findings noted as a result of the procedures performed.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the assets transferred from the former redevelopment agency to the Successor Agency, excluding its Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund, the balances available for transfer to the taxing entities or other financial information presented in the attached exhibits. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Successor Agency, the California State Controller’s Office, and the California Department of Finance and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Marcia Jimi O’Connell CPA

Los Angeles, California
October 9, 2013
This page left blank intentionally.
SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE CITY OF BELL
COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
Attachment A – Agreed-Upon Procedures and Findings

Our procedures and findings are as follows:

1) Procedure:

Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets that were transferred from the former
redevelopment agency (Agency) to the Successor Agency on or about February 1, 2012,
excluding the assets that were transferred from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund.
Agree the amounts on this listing to account balances established in the accounting records of the
Successor Agency. Identify in the Agreed-Upon Procedures (AUP) report the amount of the
assets transferred to the Successor Agency as of that date.

Finding: We inquired of the Accounting Manager of the City of Bell’s (City’s) Finance
Department and noted no transfers to the Successor Agency. We determined that while a
Successor Agency had been legally established as of February 1, 2012, no fund structure was
created to accommodate the financial transactions associated with the dissolution. We noted
assets in the amount of $18,392,802, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund
assets, remained in the former Community Redevelopment Agency funds as of February 1, 2012.
We agreed this amount to the City’s accounting records. For the purposes of the agreed-upon
procedures set forth herein, we will treat these assets as transfers to the Successor Agency as of
February 1, 2012.

2) Procedures:

If the State Controller’s Office has completed its review of transfers required under both Sections
34167.5 and 34178.8 and issued its report regarding such review, attach a copy of that report as
an exhibit to the AUP report. If this has not yet occurred, perform the following procedures:

A. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers of assets from the former
redevelopment agency (excluding payments for goods and services), excluding the Low and
Moderate Income Housing Fund, to the city, county, or city and county that formed the
redevelopment agency for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012. For
each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe
in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency’s enforceable obligations or
other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.

B. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers of assets (excluding payments
for goods and services) from the Successor Agency, excluding transfers from the Low and
Moderate Income Housing Fund held by the Successor Agency, to the city, county, or city
and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from February 1, 2012
through June 30, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose
of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency’s
enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to
the AUP report.

C. For each transfer, obtain the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable
obligation that required any transfer. Note in the AUP report the absence of any such legal
document or the absence of language in the document that required the transfer.
SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE CITY OF BELL
COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
Attachment A – Agreed-Upon Procedures and Findings (Continued)

Findings: We noted that the State Controller’s Office has not completed its review of transfers as of the date of this report. As such, we performed procedures 2A through 2C.

While a Successor Agency had been legally established as of February 1, 2012, no fund structure was created to accommodate the financial transactions associated with the dissolution. We noted assets in the amount of $18,392,802, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund assets, remained in the former Community Redevelopment Agency funds as of February 1, 2012. For the purposes of the agreed-upon procedures set forth herein, we will treat these assets as transfers to the Successor Agency as of February 1, 2012.

We inquired of the Accounting Manager of the City’s Finance Department and noted that there were no asset transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from any funds of the former Community Redevelopment Agency or the Successor Agency, excluding its Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund, to the City for the period January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012.

3) Procedures:

If the State Controller’s Office has completed its review of transfers required under both Sections 34167.5 and 34178.8 and issued its report regarding such review, attach a copy of that report as an exhibit to the AUP report. If this has not yet occurred, perform the following procedures:

A. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers of assets (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund, to any other public agency or to private parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency’s enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.

B. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers of assets (excluding payments for goods and services) from the Successor Agency, excluding transfers from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund held by the Successor Agency, to any other public agency or private parties for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency’s enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.

C. For each transfer, obtain the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable obligation that required any transfer. Note in the AUP report the absence of any such legal document or the absence of language in the document that required the transfer.

Findings: We noted that State Controller’s Office has not completed its review of transfers as of the date of this report. As such, we performed procedures 3A through 3C.

While a Successor Agency had been legally established as of February 1, 2012, no fund structure was created to accommodate the financial transactions associated with the dissolution. We noted assets in the amount of $18,392,802, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund...
successor agency to the city of bell
community redevelopment agency
attachment a – agreed-upon procedures and findings (continued)

assets, remained in the former community redevelopment agency funds as of february 1, 2012. for the purposes of the agreed-upon procedures set forth herein, we will treat these assets as transfers to the successor agency as of february 1, 2012.

we inquired of the accounting manager of the city’s finance department and noted one asset transfer in the amount of $1,203,778, from the former community redevelopment agency (excluding payments for goods and services), excluding transfers from the low and moderate income housing fund, to a public agency or private party for the period from january 1, 2011 through january 31, 2012. please refer to exhibit a. no exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure.

we inquired of the accounting manager of the city’s finance department and noted that there were no asset transfers from the successor agency (excluding payments for goods and services), excluding transfers from the low and moderate income housing fund assets held by the successor agency, to any other public agency or private party for the period from february 1, 2012 through june 30, 2012.

4) procedures:

a. obtain from the successor agency a summary of the financial transactions of the redevelopment agency and the successor agency in the format set forth in the attached schedule (exhibit b) for the fiscal periods indicated in the schedule. for purposes of this summary, the financial transactions should be presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting. end of year balances for capital assets (in total) and long-term liabilities (in total) should be presented at the bottom of this summary schedule for information purposes.

b. ascertain that for each period presented, the total of revenues, expenditures, and transfers accounts fully for the changes in equity from the previous fiscal period.

c. compare amounts in the schedule relevant to the fiscal year ended june 30, 2010 to the state controller’s report filed for the redevelopment agency for that period.

d. compare amounts in the schedule for the other fiscal periods presented to account balances in the accounting records or other supporting schedules. describe in the report the type of support provided for each fiscal period.

findings: we obtained from the successor agency a summary of the financial transactions of the former community redevelopment agency and the successor agency for the fiscal periods as presented on exhibit b. we traced the account balances to the accounting records of the former community redevelopment agency and the successor agency.

we ascertained that for the periods presented as of june 30, 2010 and 2011 and january 31, 2012, the total of revenues, expenditures, and transfers accounts fully for the changes in equity from the previous fiscal period.

we compared amounts for the fiscal year ended june 30, 2010 to the state controller’s report filed for the former community redevelopment agency for that period and noted differences of ($3,140) in cash and investments, ($1) in accounts receivable, ($10,877) in due from other governments, ($367,421) in due from other funds, and ($363,719) in advances to other funds; resulting in a net difference in total assets of ($745,158). we also noted differences of $4,983 in
Accrued Payroll, $39,833 in Due to Other Governments, ($168,784) in Other Liabilities, ($330,295) in Due to Other Funds, $5,338,567 in Advances from Other Funds, and $17,000 in Deferred Revenue; resulting in a net difference in Total Liabilities of $4,901,304. The differences in Total Assets and Total Liabilities resulted in a net difference in Equity of ($5,646,462). We also noted differences of ($898,127) and ($1,320,966) in Total Revenues and Total Expenditures/Expenses, respectively; resulting in a difference in Net Change in Equity of $422,839. Please refer to Exhibit B for the results of this procedure.

5) Procedure:

Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets of all funds of the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012, excluding assets of its Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund. Agree the assets so listed to recorded balances reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency. The listings should be attached as an exhibit to the AUP report.

Finding: While a Successor Agency had been legally established as of February 1, 2012, no fund structure was created to accommodate the financial transactions associated with the dissolution. We noted assets in the amount of $18,392,802, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund assets, remained in the former Community Redevelopment Agency funds as of February 1, 2012. For the purposes of the agreed-upon procedures set forth herein, we will treat these assets as transfers to the Successor Agency as of February 1, 2012.

We inquired of the Accounting Manager of the City’s Finance Department and noted assets in the aggregate amount of $19,449,157 were held by the Successor Agency at June 30, 2012 (excluding the previously reported assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund). We traced the asset balances to the accounting records of the Successor Agency. Please refer to Exhibit C for the results of the procedures performed.

6) Procedures:

Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of asset balances of all other funds of the Successor Agency, excluding the previously reported Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund assets, held on June 30, 2012 that are restricted for the following purposes:

A. Unspent bond proceeds:
   i. Obtain the Successor Agency’s computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures, amounts set aside for debt service payments, etc.)
   ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
   iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the legal document that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted.
B. Grant proceeds and program income that are restricted by third parties:
   i. Obtain the Successor Agency’s computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures).
   ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
   iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the grant agreement that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted.

C. Other assets considered to be legally restricted:
   i. Obtain the Successor Agency’s computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures).
   ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
   iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the legal document that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by Successor the Agency as restricted.

D. Attach the above mentioned Successor Agency prepared schedule(s) as an exhibit to the AUP report. For each restriction identified on these schedules, indicate in the report the period of time for which the restrictions are in effect. If the restrictions are in effect until the related assets are expended for their intended purpose, this should be indicated in the report.

**Findings:** We noted unspent bond proceeds in an aggregate amount of $2,040,238 are legally restricted per the 2003 Tax Allocation Refunding Bond official statement. No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure.

We also noted other assets considered legally restricted in the aggregate amount of $628,088. Please refer to Exhibit D for the results of the procedures performed.

7) **Procedures:**

A. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of assets as of June 30, 2012 that are not liquid or otherwise available for distribution (such as capital assets, land held for resale, long-term receivables, etc.) and ascertain if the values are listed at either purchase cost (based on book value reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency) or market value as recently estimated by the Successor Agency.

B. If the assets listed at 7(A) are listed at purchase cost, trace the amounts to a previously audited financial statement (or to the accounting records of the Successor Agency) and note any differences.

C. For any differences noted in 7(B), inspect evidence of disposal of the asset and ascertain that the proceeds were deposited into the Successor Agency trust fund. If the differences are due
to additions (this generally is not expected to occur), inspect the supporting documentation and note the circumstances.

D. If the assets listed at 7(A) are listed at recently estimated market value, inspect the evidence (if any) supporting the value and note the methodology used. If no evidence is available to support the value and/or methodology, note the lack of evidence.

Findings: Please refer to Exhibit E for a listing of assets held by the Successor Agency, excluding assets transferred from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund that are not liquid or otherwise available for distribution as of June 30, 2012. No exceptions were noted as a result of these procedures.

8) Procedures:

A. If the Successor Agency believes that asset balances need to be retained to satisfy enforceable obligations, obtain from the Successor Agency an itemized schedule of asset balances (resources) as of June 30, 2012 that are dedicated or restricted for the funding of enforceable obligations and perform the following procedures. The schedule should identify the amount dedicated or restricted, the nature of the dedication or restriction, the specific enforceable obligation to which the dedication or restriction relates, and the language in the legal document that is associated with the enforceable obligation that specifies the dedication of existing asset balances toward payment of that obligation.

i. Compare all information on the schedule to the legal documents that form the basis for the dedication or restriction of the resource balance in question.

ii. Compare all current balances to the amounts reported in the accounting records of the Successor Agency or to an alternative computation.

iii. Compare the specified enforceable obligations to those that were included in the final Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule approved by the California Department of Finance.

iv. Attach as an exhibit to the report the listing obtained from the Successor Agency. Identify in the report any listed balances for which the Successor Agency was unable to provide appropriate restricting language in the legal document associated with the enforceable obligation.

B. If the Successor Agency believes that future revenues together with balances dedicated or restricted to an enforceable obligation are insufficient to fund future obligation payments and thus retention of current balances is required, obtain from the Successor Agency a schedule of approved enforceable obligations that includes a projection of the annual spending requirements to satisfy each obligation and a projection of the annual revenues available to fund those requirements and perform the following procedures:

i. Compare the enforceable obligations to those that were approved by the California Department of Finance. Procedures to accomplish this may include reviewing the letter from the California Department of Finance approving the Recognized Enforceable Obligation Payment Schedules for the six month period from January 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012 and for the six month period July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012.

ii. Compare the forecasted annual spending requirements to the legal document supporting each enforceable obligation.
a. Obtain from the Successor Agency its assumptions relating to the forecasted annual spending requirements and disclose in the report major assumptions associated with the projections.

iii. For the forecasted annual revenues:
    a. Obtain from the Successor Agency its assumptions for the forecasted annual revenues and disclose in the report major assumptions associated with the projections.

C. If the Successor Agency believes that projected property tax revenues and other general purpose revenues to be received by the Successor Agency are insufficient to pay bond debt service payments (considering both the timing and amount of the related cash flows), obtain from the Successor Agency a schedule demonstrating this insufficiency and apply the following procedures to the information reflected in that schedule.
   i. Compare the timing and amounts of bond debt service payments to the related bond debt service schedules in the bond agreement.
   ii. Obtain the assumptions for the forecasted property tax revenues and disclose major assumptions associated with the projections.
   iii. Obtain the assumptions for the forecasted other general purpose revenues and disclose major assumptions associated with the projections.

D. If procedures A, B, or C were performed, calculate the amount of current unrestricted balances necessary for retention in order to meet the enforceable obligations by performing the following procedures.
   i. Combine the amount of identified current dedicated or restricted balances and the amount of forecasted annual revenues to arrive at the amount of total resources available to fund enforceable obligations.
   ii. Reduce the amount of total resources available by the amount forecasted for the annual spending requirements. A negative result indicates the amount of current unrestricted balances that needs to be retained.
   iii. Include the calculation in the AUP report.

Findings: We inquired of the Accounting Manager of the City’s Finance Department and noted that no asset balances need to be retained to satisfy enforceable obligations as of June 30, 2012 that are dedicated or restricted for the funding of enforceable obligations. In addition, we noted that future revenues together with balances dedicated to or restricted for an enforceable obligation are not insufficient to fund future obligation payments and projected property tax revenues and other general purpose revenues to be received by the Successor Agency are not insufficient to pay bond debt service payments.

9) Procedure:

If the Successor Agency believes that cash balances as of June 30, 2012 need to be retained to satisfy obligations on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) for the period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, obtain a copy of the final ROPS for the period of July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012 (ROPS 2) and a copy of the final ROPS for the period January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013 (ROPS 3). For each obligation listed on the ROPS, the Successor Agency should add columns identifying (1) any dollar amounts of existing cash that are needed to
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satisfy that obligation and (2) the Successor Agency’s explanation as to why the Successor Agency believes that such balances are needed to satisfy the obligation. Include this schedule as an attachment to the AUP report.

Finding: We inquired of the Accounting Manager of the City’s Finance Department and noted that cash balances as of June 30, 2012, in the amount of $1,595,411 need to be retained to satisfy certain obligations for the period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013. On January 23, 2013, the Department of Finance issued a final determination approving a total of up to $1,720,413 ($1,595,413 for enforceable obligations and $125,000 for administrative allowances) for the ROPS 3 Schedule covering the period of July 2012 to December 2012. Please refer to Exhibit F for the results of these procedures.

10) Procedure:

Include (or present) a schedule detailing the computation of the Balance Available for Allocation to Affected Taxing Entities from Successor Agency assets, excluding Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund assets. Amounts included in the calculation should agree to the results of the procedures performed in each section above. The schedule should also include a deduction to recognize amounts already paid to the County Auditor-Controller on July 12, 2012 as directed by the California Department of Finance. The amount of this deduction presented should be agreed to evidence of payment.

Finding: Please refer to Exhibit G for the results of this procedure. No exceptions were noted as a result of the procedure.

11) Obtain a representation letter from Successor Agency management acknowledging their responsibility for the data provided to the practitioner and the data presented in the report or in any attachments to the report. Included in the representations should be an acknowledgment that management is not aware of any transfers (as defined by Section 34179.5 and 34179.6) from either the former redevelopment agency or the Successor Agency to other parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 that have not been properly identified in the AUP report and its related exhibits. Management’s refusal to sign the representation letter should be noted in the AUP report as required by attestation standards.

Finding: We obtained a representation letter from the Successor Agency management acknowledging that they are not aware of any additional transfers that have not been properly identified in Exhibit A, as defined by Section 34179.5 and 34179.6 of the Health and Safety Code, from the former Community Redevelopment Agency or the Successor Agency to other parties for the period January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012. No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure.
CITY OF BELL COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY  
EXHIBIT A - FORMER REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, EXCEPT ITS LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUND, ASSET TRANSFERS TO PUBLIC AGENCIES FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Description</th>
<th>Name of the Recipient</th>
<th>Date of Transfer</th>
<th>Book value of asset at date of transfer</th>
<th>Describe the purpose of the transfer and specify the enforceable obligation or other legal requirement requiring such transfer and the date of such requirement.</th>
<th>Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>U.S. Bank National Association</td>
<td>1/31/2012 $1,203,778</td>
<td>Debt service payment (principal and interest) in the amount of $1,450,334 for the 2003 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, less the 17% that was paid with Low and Moderate Income Housing funds. Payment was due on October 1, 2011, however, the payment was made late on January 31, 2012.</td>
<td>No finding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CITY OF BELL COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
EXHIBIT B - CONDENSED SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Community Redevelopment Agency</th>
<th>Summary Financial Data</th>
<th>Community Redevelopment Agency</th>
<th>Community Redevelopment Agency</th>
<th>Successor Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Investments</td>
<td>$2,787,249</td>
<td>$2,790,389</td>
<td>$(1,140)</td>
<td>$2,971,602</td>
<td>$3,006,558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted Cash and Investments</td>
<td>2,040,119</td>
<td>2,040,119</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,040,119</td>
<td>2,040,238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Receivable</td>
<td>2,314</td>
<td>2,315</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>2,315</td>
<td>2,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due from Other Governments</td>
<td>331,950</td>
<td>344,827</td>
<td>(10,877)</td>
<td>318,204</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due from Other Funds</td>
<td>84,031</td>
<td>454,452</td>
<td>(367,421)</td>
<td>441,148</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Loans</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>17,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Charges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>732,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advances to Other Funds</td>
<td>1,429,699</td>
<td>1,793,418</td>
<td>(363,719)</td>
<td>1,438,567</td>
<td>1,438,567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Held for Development</td>
<td>835,250</td>
<td>835,730</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>835,730</td>
<td>835,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assets</strong></td>
<td>7,530,092</td>
<td>8,275,250</td>
<td>(745,158)</td>
<td>8,064,685</td>
<td>7,738,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Payable</td>
<td>1,055</td>
<td>1,055</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5,192</td>
<td>8,785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued Payroll</td>
<td>4,983</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,983</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to Other Governments</td>
<td>39,833</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39,833</td>
<td>2,414</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Payable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Liabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>720,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to Other Funds</td>
<td>121,157</td>
<td>451,452</td>
<td>(330,295)</td>
<td>441,148</td>
<td>514,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advances from Other Funds</td>
<td>7,131,585</td>
<td>1,793,418</td>
<td>5,338,067</td>
<td>6,083,230</td>
<td>6,083,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Revenue</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>17,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liabilities</strong></td>
<td>7,316,013</td>
<td>2,414,279</td>
<td>4,901,204</td>
<td>7,148,984</td>
<td>7,223,347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equity</strong></td>
<td>214,079</td>
<td>8,605,541</td>
<td>(5,646,662)</td>
<td>915,701</td>
<td>515,051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liabilities</strong>+ Equity</td>
<td>7,530,092</td>
<td>8,725,250</td>
<td>(745,158)</td>
<td>8,064,685</td>
<td>7,738,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td>5,447,360</td>
<td>6,345,487</td>
<td>(898,127)</td>
<td>4,173,259</td>
<td>2,411,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenditures/Expenses</strong></td>
<td>6,503,555</td>
<td>7,824,221</td>
<td>(1,320,666)</td>
<td>3,471,677</td>
<td>2,434,395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Transfers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extraordinary Loss</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(377,561)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Change in Equity</strong></td>
<td>$(1,056,596)</td>
<td>$(1,479,434)</td>
<td>$(422,839)</td>
<td>$(701,622)</td>
<td>$(440,640)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beginning Equity</strong></td>
<td>$1,270,674</td>
<td>$7,339,975</td>
<td>$(6,069,301)</td>
<td>$214,079</td>
<td>$915,701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ending Equity</strong></td>
<td>$214,079</td>
<td>$5,850,541</td>
<td>$(5,646,462)</td>
<td>$214,079</td>
<td>$915,701</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reconciliation of former Community Redevelopment Agency ending equity as of January 31, 2011 to the Successor Agency's extraordinary loss as of June 30, 2012:

- Community Redevelopment Agency Ending equity: $515,051
- Transfer to Housing Successor: (4,379,075)
- Extraordinary loss on RDA dissolution: 3,864,014
- Successor Agency beginning equity: $0

Other Information:

- Capital Assets: $15,765,599
- Long Term Debt: $27,828,091

Net Change in Equity: $(440,640)
CITY OF BELL COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
EXHIBIT C - SUCCESSOR AGENCY ASSETS,
EXCLUDING LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUND ASSETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>June 30, 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Investments</td>
<td>$ 829,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted Cash and Investments</td>
<td>2,040,238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Receivable</td>
<td>2,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Charges</td>
<td>732,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Held for Development</td>
<td>835,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>8,286,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings and Improvements</td>
<td>6,721,507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>$ 19,449,157</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CITY OF BELL COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
EXHIBIT D - SUCCESSOR AGENCY RESTRICTED ASSETS, EXCLUDING LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUND
RESTRICTED ASSETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>June 30, 2012</th>
<th>Computation of the Restricted Balance (i.e. accounting records or other supporting documentation)</th>
<th>Source for the computation of the restricted balance</th>
<th>Identify the document requiring the restriction. For each restriction identified on these schedules, indicate in the period of time for which the restrictions are in effect. Note whether the restrictions are in effect until the related assets are expended for their intended purpose.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Unspent Bond Proceeds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash with Fiscal Agent</td>
<td>$2,040,238</td>
<td>Per bond indenture of the 2003 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, (b) &quot;... The Trustees shall deposit the amount of $2,040,118.76 (which amount constitutes the Reserve Requirement with respect to the Bonds) from Bond Proceeds into the Reserve Account of the Debt Service Fund.&quot; The restricted balance represents the fair value of the reserve requirement at June 30, 2012.</td>
<td>U.S. Bank statement at 6/30/12</td>
<td>Bond covenant for 2003 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>$2,040,238</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Grant Proceeds and Program Income</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Other Assets Considered Legally Restricted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash for General Obligation Bonds Levy</td>
<td>$167,737</td>
<td>Computation by Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller Tax Division per remittance advice for Secured/Unitary 35% Advance</td>
<td>Accounting records</td>
<td>Payment demand per Los Angeles County CRA Remittance Advice, where restriction is in effect until related assets are expended for the intended purpose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash for Pension Obligation Bonds Levy</td>
<td>$253,997</td>
<td>Computation by Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller Tax Division per remittance advice for Secured/Unitary 35% Advance</td>
<td>Accounting records</td>
<td>Payment demand per Los Angeles County CRA Remittance Advice, where restriction is in effect until related assets are expended for the intended purpose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash for fees for legal services</td>
<td>$116,104</td>
<td>Legal services provided for Community Redevelopment Agency from August 2011 through January 2012</td>
<td>Vendor Invoices</td>
<td>Payment request per vendor invoices, where restrictions are in effect until related assets are expended for the intended purpose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash retained for professional services</td>
<td>$90,230</td>
<td>ROPS approved by Department of Finance</td>
<td>Accounting records and ROPS 1</td>
<td>Cash from ROPS distribution for Feb 1, 2012 to June 30, 2012 that are needed to be retained to satisfy administrative obligations approved by DOF. Restrictions are in effect until related assets are expended for the intended purpose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>$628,088</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary of Restricted Assets Listed in Tables A, B and C</td>
<td>$2,668,326</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CITY OF BELL COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
### EXHIBIT E - SUCCESSOR AGENCY ASSETS OTHER THAN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, EXCLUDING LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUND ASSETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>June 30, 2012</th>
<th>Basis (i.e. Book Value/ Fair Market Value)</th>
<th>Description of the records provided supporting the book value listed (i.e. previously audited financial statements or the accounting records) and any differences noted. If differences pertain to disposal of assets, note whether the proceeds were deposited into the Successor Agency.</th>
<th>Description of the methodology used to support the market value listed. If no evidence is available to support the value and/or the methodology used, note as a finding in the report.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Receivable</td>
<td>$ 2,315</td>
<td>Book Value</td>
<td>Accounting records</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Charges</td>
<td>732,810</td>
<td>Book Value</td>
<td>Accounting records</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Held for Development</td>
<td>835,730</td>
<td>Book Value</td>
<td>Accounting records</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>8,286,780</td>
<td>Book Value</td>
<td>Accounting records</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings and Improvements</td>
<td>6,721,307</td>
<td>Book Value</td>
<td>Accounting records</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 16,579,142</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CITY OF BELL COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
EXHIBIT F - SUCCESSOR AGENCY ASSETS, EXCLUDING LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUND, THAT ARE NEEDED TO SATISFY OBLIGATIONS ON THE RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE (ROPS) FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2012 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Project Name / Debt Obligation</th>
<th>Redevelopment Project Area</th>
<th>Player</th>
<th>Revenue Source</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation</th>
<th>Projected Payment Dates</th>
<th>Total Due During Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Payable from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF)</th>
<th>Payments by month</th>
<th>Existing cash needed to satisfy the obligation</th>
<th>Successor Agency estimate for remaining cash balances to satisfy obligations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2003 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds</td>
<td>Merged Redevelopment Project Area</td>
<td>U.S. Bank</td>
<td>Tax Increment Revenue</td>
<td>Bonds issued to fund low-income housing and housing projects</td>
<td>34,081,915</td>
<td>Jul 1, 2012</td>
<td>$1,045,819</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$1,457,909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Continuing Disclosure Costs</td>
<td>Merged Redevelopment Project Area</td>
<td>Fiscal Consulting Firm</td>
<td>Tax Increment Revenue</td>
<td>Administration of 2003 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds</td>
<td>431,665</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>1,667</td>
<td>1,667</td>
<td>1,667</td>
<td>1,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Trustees fees</td>
<td>Merged Redevelopment Project Area</td>
<td>U.S. Bank</td>
<td>Tax Increment Revenue</td>
<td>Fiscal Agent for administration of the 2003 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds</td>
<td>107,915</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Administrative Costs of the Successor Agency</td>
<td>Merged Redevelopment Project Area</td>
<td>Successor Agency</td>
<td>Tax Increment Revenue</td>
<td>Overhead, rent, utilities, equipment, supplies, Oversight Board, and other operational supplies</td>
<td>5,395,815</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>20,833</td>
<td>20,833</td>
<td>20,833</td>
<td>20,833</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: $1,595,411
CITY OF BELL COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
EXHIBIT G - SUCCESSOR AGENCY ASSETS, EXCLUDING LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUND ASSETS THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO DISTRIBUTE TO AFFECTED TAXING ENTITIES

SUMMARY OF BALANCES AVAILABLE FOR ALLOCATION TO AFFECTED TAXING ENTITIES

Total amount of assets held by the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012 (procedure 5) $ 19,449,157

Add the amount of any assets transferred to the City or other parties for which an enforceable obligation with a third party requiring such transfer and obligating the use of the transferred assets did not exist (procedures 2 and 3) -

Less assets legally restricted for uses specified by debt covenants, grant restrictions, or restrictions imposed by other governments (procedure 6) 2,668,326

Less assets that are not cash or cash equivalents (e.g., physical assets) - (procedure 7) 16,579,142

Less balances that are legally restricted for the funding of an enforceable obligation (net of projected annual revenues available to fund those obligations) - (procedure 8) -

Less balances needed to satisfy ROPS for the 2012-13 fiscal year (procedure 9) 1,595,411

Less the amount of payments made on July 12, 2012 to the County Auditor-Controller as directed by the California Department of Finance -

Amount to be remitted to county for disbursement to taxing entities/ due from the county $ (1,393,722)
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Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

We have performed the procedures enumerated in Attachment A, which were agreed to by the Successor Agency to the City of Bell Community Redevelopment Agency (Successor Agency), California State Controller's Office and California Department of Finance (collectively referred to as Specified Parties) solely to assist you in determining the balances available for transfer to taxing entities from assets transferred to the Successor Agency from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund of the former redevelopment agency, as prescribed in Section 34179.5 of the California Health and Safety Code (Code). The management of the Successor Agency is responsible for the accounting records. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the Specified Parties. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described in Attachment A, either for the purpose for which this report has been requested, or for any other purpose.

The scope of this engagement was limited to performing the agreed-upon procedures set forth in Attachment A. Attachment A also identifies the findings noted as a result of the procedures performed.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the balances available for transfer to taxing entities from assets transferred to the Successor Agency from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund of the former redevelopment agency or other financial information presented in the attached exhibits. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Successor Agency, California State Controller's Office and the California Department of Finance, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Los Angeles, California
October 9, 2013

Maria Sini & O'Connell, LLP
SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE CITY OF
BELL COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
Attachment A - Agreed-Upon Procedures and Findings
Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund

Our procedures and findings are as follows:

1) **Procedure:**

Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets that were transferred from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund of the former redevelopment agency to the Successor Agency on or about February 1, 2012. Agree the amounts on this listing to account balances established in the accounting records of the Successor Agency. Identify in the Agreed-Upon Procedures (AUP) report the amount of the assets transferred to the Successor Agency as of that date.

**Finding:** We inquired of the Accounting Manager of the City of Bell’s (City’s) Finance Department with regards to the assets transferred from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund of the former redevelopment agency to the Successor Agency on or about February 1, 2012 and as a result, noted no transfers to the Successor Agency. We determined that all assets in the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund of the former redevelopment agency were transferred to the Community Housing Authority (Housing Successor) through the review of accounting records.

2) **Procedures:**

If the State Controller’s Office has completed its review of transfers required under both Sections 34167.5 and 34178.8 and issued its report regarding such review, attach a copy of that report as an exhibit to the AUP report. If this has not yet occurred, perform the following procedures:

A. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency’s Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency’s enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.

B. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the low and moderate income housing assets held by the Successor Agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency’s enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.

C. For each transfer, obtain the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable obligation that required any transfer. Note in the AUP report the absence of any such legal document or the absence of language in the document that required the transfer.

**Findings:** We noted that the State Controller’s Office has not completed its review of transfers as of the date of this report. As such, we performed procedures 2A through 2C.
We inquired of the Accounting Manager of the City's Finance Department and noted that the City transferred assets from the former redevelopment agency's Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund to the City for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012.

We noted that the City transferred cash and investments in the amount of $3,517,438, which was not required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirement. As such, this transfer was noted as a finding. Please refer to Exhibit A for the results of this procedure.

For the period February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012, we noted no asset transfers from the low and moderate income housing assets held by the Successor Agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency.

3) Procedures:

If the State Controller's Office has completed its review of transfers required under both Sections 34167.5 and 34178.8 and issued its report regarding such review, attach a copy of that report as an exhibit to the AUP report. If this has not yet occurred, perform the following procedures:

A. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency's Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund to any other public agency or to private parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.

B. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the low and moderate income housing assets held by the Successor Agency to any other public agency or private parties for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.

C. For each transfer, obtain the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable obligation that required any transfer. Note in the AUP report the absence of any such legal document or the absence of language in the document that required the transfer.

Findings: We noted that State Controller's Office has not completed its review of transfers as of the date of this report. As such, we performed procedures 3A through 3C.

We inquired of the Accounting Manager of the City's Finance Department and noted that there were no asset transfers from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund of the former redevelopment agency or the low and moderate income housing assets held by the Successor Agency (excluding payments for goods and services) to any other public agency or to private parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012.
4) Procedure:

Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund as of June 30, 2012 for the report that is due October 1, 2012. The schedule attached as an exhibit will include only those assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund that were held by the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012 and will exclude all assets held by the entity that assumed the housing function previously performed by the former redevelopment agency. Agree the assets so listed to recorded balances reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency. The listings should be attached as an exhibit to the appropriate AUP report.

Finding: We inquired of the Accounting Manager of the City’s Finance Department and noted that there were no assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund that were held by the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012.

5) Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of asset balances transferred from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund held on June 30, 2012, that are restricted for the following purposes:

A. Unspent bond proceeds:
   i. Obtain the Successor Agency’s computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures, amounts set aside for debt service payments, etc.)
   ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
   iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the legal document that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted.

B. Grant proceeds and program income that are restricted by third parties:
   i. Obtain the Successor Agency’s computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures).
   ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).

C. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the grant agreement that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted. Other assets considered to be legally restricted:
   i. Obtain the Successor Agency’s computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures).
ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).

iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the legal document that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted.

D. Attach the above mentioned Successor Agency prepared schedule(s) as an exhibit to the AUP report. For each restriction identified on these schedules, indicate in the report the period of time for which the restrictions are in effect. If the restrictions are in effect until the related assets are expended for their intended purpose, this should be indicated in the report.

**Findings:** There were no assets transferred from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund that were held by the Successor Agency at June 30, 2012, including assets deemed to be unenforceable at Procedures 2 and 3 above, that are unspent bond proceeds or grant proceeds or program income restricted by third parties.

6) **Procedures:**

A. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of assets of June 30, 2012 that are not liquid or otherwise available for distribution (such as capital assets, land held for resale, long-term receivables, etc.) and ascertain if the values are listed at either purchase cost (based on book value reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency) or market value, as recently estimated by the Successor Agency.

B. If the assets listed at 6A are listed at purchase cost, trace the amounts to a previously audited financial statement (or the accounting records of the Successor Agency) and note any differences.

C. For any differences noted in 6B, inspect evidence of disposal of the asset and ascertain that the proceeds were deposited into the Successor Agency trust fund. If the differences are due to additions (this generally is not expected to occur), inspect the supporting documentation and note the circumstances.

D. If the assets listed at 6A are listed at recently estimated market value, inspect the evidence (if any) supporting the value and note the methodology used. If no evidence is available to support the value and/or methodology, note the lack of evidence.

**Findings:** There were no assets transferred from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund that were held by the Successor Agency at June 30, 2012, including assets deemed to be unenforceable at Procedures 2 and 3 above, that are not liquid or otherwise available for distribution.

7) **Procedures:**

A. If the Successor Agency believes that asset balances need to be retained to satisfy enforceable obligations, obtain from the Successor Agency an itemized schedule of asset balances (resources) as of June 30, 2012, that are dedicated or restricted for the funding of enforceable
obligations and perform the following procedures. The schedule should identify the amount
dedicated or restricted, the nature of the dedication or restriction, the specific enforceable
obligation to which the dedication or restriction relates, and the language in the legal
document that is associated with the enforceable obligation that specifies the dedication of
existing asset balances toward payment of that obligation.

i. Compare all information on the schedule to the legal documents that form the basis for
the dedication or restriction of the resource balance in question.

ii. Compare all current balances to the amounts reported in the accounting records of the
Successor Agency or to an alternative computation.

iii. Compare the specified enforceable obligations to those that were included in the final
Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule approved by the California Department of
Finance.

iv. Attach as an exhibit to the report the listing obtained from the Successor Agency. Identify
in the report any listed balances for which the Successor Agency was unable to provide
appropriate restricting language in the legal document associated with the enforceable
obligation.

B. If the Successor Agency believes that future revenues, together with balances dedicated or
restricted to an enforceable obligation, are insufficient to fund future obligation payments,
and thus retention of current balances is required, obtain from the Successor Agency a
schedule of approved enforceable obligations that includes a projection of the annual
spending requirements to satisfy each obligation and a projection of the annual revenues
available to fund those requirements and perform the following procedures:

i. Compare the enforceable obligations to those that were approved by the California
Department of Finance. Procedures to accomplish this may include reviewing the letter
from the California Department of Finance approving the Recognized Enforceable
Obligation Payment Schedules for the six month period from January 1, 2012 through

ii. Compare the forecasted annual spending requirements to the legal document supporting
each enforceable obligation.

a. Obtain from the Successor Agency its assumptions relating to the forecasted annual
spending requirements and disclose in the report major assumptions associated with
the projections.

iii. For the forecasted annual revenues:

a. Obtain from the Successor Agency its assumptions for the forecasted annual revenues
and disclose in the report major assumptions associated with the projections.

C. If the Successor Agency believes that projected property tax revenues and other general
purpose revenues to be received by the Successor Agency are insufficient to pay bond debt
service payments (considering both the timing and amount of the related cash flows), obtain
from the Successor Agency a schedule demonstrating this insufficiency and apply the
following procedures to the information reflected in that schedule.

i. Compare the timing and amounts of bond debt service payments to the related bond debt
service schedules in the bond agreement.
ii. Obtain the assumptions for the forecasted property tax revenues and disclose major assumptions associated with the projections.

iii. Obtain the assumptions for the forecasted other general purpose revenues and disclose major assumptions associated with the projections.

D. If procedures A, B, or C were performed, calculate the amount of current unrestricted balances necessary for retention in order to meet the enforceable obligations by performing the following procedures.

i. Combine the amount of identified current dedicated or restricted balances and the amount of forecasted annual revenues to arrive at the amount of total resources available to fund enforceable obligations.

ii. Reduce the amount of total resources available by the amount forecasted for the annual spending requirements. A negative result indicates the amount of current unrestricted balances that need to be retained.

iii. Include the calculation in the AUP report.

Findings: We noted that no assets were transferred from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund of the former redevelopment agency to the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012 including assets deemed to be unenforceable at Procedures 2 and 3 above, that need to be retained to satisfy enforceable obligations.

8) Procedure:

If the Successor Agency believes that, as of June 30, 2012, cash balances need to be retained to satisfy obligations on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) for the period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, obtain a copy of the final ROPS for the period of July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012, and a copy of the final ROPS for the period January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013. For each obligation listed on the ROPS, the Successor Agency should add columns identifying (1) any dollar amounts of existing cash that are needed to satisfy that obligation and (2) the Successor Agency’s explanation as to why the Successor Agency believes that such balances are needed to satisfy the obligation. Include this schedule as an attachment to the AUP report.

Findings: We inquired of the Accounting Manager of the City’s Finance Department and noted the Successor Agency did not have any cash balances from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund, as of June 30, 2012 that need to be retained to satisfy obligations on the ROPS.

9) Procedure:

Include a schedule detailing the computation of the Balance Available for Allocation to Affected Taxing Entities for the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund. Amounts included in the calculation should agree to the results of the procedures performed in each section above. The schedule should also include a deduction to recognize amounts already paid to the County Auditor-Controller on July 12, 2012, as directed by the California Department of Finance. The amount of this deduction presented should be agreed to evidence of payment.
Finding: Please refer to Exhibit B for the results of this procedure. The July 12, 2012 payment in the amount of $554,094 was made from unencumbered cash and investments transferred noted in Procedure 2. We noted that the balance available for allocation to the affected taxing entity was $2,963,344.

10) Procedure:

Obtain a representation letter from Successor Agency management acknowledging their responsibility for the data provided to the practitioner and the data presented in the report or in any attachments to the report. Included in the representations should be an acknowledgment that management is not aware of any transfers (as defined by Section 34179.5) from either the former redevelopment agency or the Successor Agency to other parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012, that have not been properly identified in the AUP report and its related exhibits. Management’s refusal to sign the representation letter should be noted in the AUP report as required by attestation standards.

Finding: Management acknowledged that they are not aware of any additional transfers that have not been properly identified in Exhibit A and B, as defined by Section 34179.5 of the Health and Safety Code, from assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund of the former redevelopment agency or the low and moderate income housing assets held by the Successor Agency to other parties for the period January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012. No exceptions were noted as a result of this procedure.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Asset Description</th>
<th>Name of the recipient</th>
<th>Date of Transfer</th>
<th>Book value of asset at date of transfer</th>
<th>Describe the purpose of the transfer and specify the enforceable obligation or other legal requirement requiring such transfer and the date of such requirement</th>
<th>Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>City of Bell</td>
<td>10/1/2011</td>
<td>$ 246,537</td>
<td>This is a debt service payment for the 2003 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds (TARB). The principal amount of the bond issue was $27,925,000. Amount transferred is 17% of total principal and interest payment of $1,450,334, which represents Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund's allocation. The transfer was made from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund to the Community Redevelopment Agency Debt Service Fund in order to make the scheduled debt service payment that was due on October 1, 2011.</td>
<td>No finding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Oaks on Florence,</td>
<td>City of Bell Community Housing Authority</td>
<td>1/31/2012</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Transfer to the City of Bell Community Housing Authority (Housing Successor) is pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34176 (a)(1), &quot;The city, county, or city and county that authorized the creation of a redevelopment agency may elect to retain the housing assets and functions previously performed by the redevelopment agency.&quot; The transfer was included in the Housing Asset Transfer Form and approved by the Department of Finance.</td>
<td>No finding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4224 Florence Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No finding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Loan Receivable -</td>
<td>City of Bell Community Housing Authority</td>
<td>1/31/2012</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>Transfer to the City of Bell Community Housing Authority (Housing Successor) is pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34176 (a)(1), &quot;The city, county, or city and county that authorized the creation of a redevelopment agency may elect to retain the housing assets and functions previously performed by the redevelopment agency.&quot; The transfer was included in the Housing Asset Transfer Form and approved by the Department of Finance.</td>
<td>No finding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dudley and Edith French</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No finding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Loan Receivable -</td>
<td>City of Bell Community Housing Authority</td>
<td>1/31/2012</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>Transfer to the City of Bell Community Housing Authority (Housing Successor) is pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34176 (a)(1), &quot;The city, county, or city and county that authorized the creation of a redevelopment agency may elect to retain the housing assets and functions previously performed by the redevelopment agency.&quot; The transfer was included in the Housing Asset Transfer Form and approved by the Department of Finance.</td>
<td>No finding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nora Rosado</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No finding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cash Advance for</td>
<td>City of Bell Community Housing Authority</td>
<td>1/31/2012</td>
<td>1,438,567</td>
<td>Transfer to the City of Bell Community Housing Authority (Housing Successor) is pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34176 (a)(1), &quot;The city, county, or city and county that authorized the creation of a redevelopment agency may elect to retain the housing assets and functions previously performed by the redevelopment agency.&quot; The transfer was included in the Housing Asset Transfer Form and approved by the Department of Finance.</td>
<td>No finding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009-10 SERAF payment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No finding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cash and Investments</td>
<td>City of Bell Community Housing Authority</td>
<td>1/31/2012</td>
<td>3,517,438</td>
<td>Amount represents unencumbered cash and investments transferred to City of Bell Housing Authority. No legal requirement for transfer noted. Exception noted.</td>
<td>Finding noted $ 3,517,438</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


CITY OF BELL COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

EXHIBIT B - LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUND ASSETS TRANSFERRED TO THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO DISTRIBUTE TO AFFECTED TAXING ENTITIES

SUMMARY OF BALANCES AVAILABLE FOR ALLOCATION TO AFFECTED TAXING ENTITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total amount of assets from the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund that are held by the successor agency as of June 30, 2012 (procedure 4)</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add the amount of any assets transferred to the city or other parties for which an enforceable obligation with a third party requiring such transfer and obligating the use of the transferred assets did not exist (procedures 2 and 3)</td>
<td>3,517,438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less assets legally restricted for uses specified by debt covenants, grant restrictions, or restrictions imposed by other governments (procedure 5)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less assets that are not cash or cash equivalents (e.g., physical assets) - (procedure 6)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less balances that are legally restricted for the funding of an enforceable obligation (net of projected annual revenues available to fund those obligations) - (procedure 7)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less balances needed to satisfy ROPS for the 2012-13 fiscal year (procedure 8)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less the amount of payments made on July 12, 2012 to the County Auditor-Controller as directed by the California Department of Finance Amount to be remitted to county for disbursement to taxing entities</td>
<td>$ 2,963,344</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATE: April 24, 2014

TO: Honorable Oversight Board Members

FROM: Successor Agency Staff

APPROVED BY: [Signature]
Doug Willmore, City Manager

SUBJECT: Resolution No. 2014-04 OB approving transfer of governmental use property located at 4357 Gage Avenue to the City of Bell

RECOMMENDED ACTION

It is recommended that the Oversight Board adopt Resolution No. 2014-04 OB approving transfer of governmental use property located at 4357 Gage Avenue to the City of Bell (APNs 6317-023-901, 902 and 904).

BACKGROUND

Under Assembly Bill x1 26 and Assembly Bill 1484, together known as the “Dissolution Act”, all redevelopment agencies were dissolved on February 1, 2012, and replaced with successor agencies tasked with winding down the affairs of their predecessors. In general, successor agencies must ensure the payment of all valid debts, and liquidation of assets, much like the executor of any estate. The City Council of the City of Bell affirmed its decision to become the Successor Agency to the Bell Community Redevelopment Agency (“Successor Agency”) and over the last two years has been complying with a variety of tasks mandated by the Dissolution Act, including creating recognized obligation payment schedules, designating the City’s Housing Authority as the Successor Housing Entity, and paying debts in a timely manner. Pursuant to the Dissolution Act, one of the next steps in the process is the disposition of non-housing land assets.

The Dissolution Act allows a Successor Agency three ways to dispose of property assets. The first option applies only to housing properties, which were eligible for transfer via the Housing Asset Transfer. The second method allows properties held by the Successor Agency that were constructed and used for a governmental purpose to be transferred to the appropriate public jurisdiction (Health and Safety Code Sections 34181(a) and 34191.3). The third and final method requires that a long range Property Management Plan (“PMP”) be created by the Successor Agency. The PMP must provide a number of details about any subject properties according to the statute, and allows the Successor Agency to plan for their disposition, which
may include holding a property for development under certain circumstances. The State Department of Finance ("DOF") must ultimately approve each of these three methods. The PMP is currently under preparation by the Successor Agency’s consultant (RSG) and City personnel. The Oversight Board cannot approve the PMP until the DOF has issued its Finding of Completion. A Finding of Completion cannot be issued until the Non-Housing and Housing Due Diligence (audit) Reviews ("DDR") are approved by the Oversight Board and accepted by DOF. The DDRs are currently in draft form and are expected to be finalized during the next month.

However, the Successor Agency may move forward with a governmental transfer of property pursuant to Section 34191.3 of the Health and Safety Code. This portion of the law authorizes the Successor Agency to transfer properties, constructed and used for a governmental purpose immediately, and without receiving a finding of completion.

The Dissolution Act defines a governmental use property as "those assets that were constructed and used for a governmental purpose, such as roads, school buildings, parks, police and fire stations, libraries, and local agency administrative buildings." The law does not specifically exclude any uses, and so it is incumbent upon the Successor Agency to illustrate how property use supports government activities.

At the time of dissolution, the former Bell Community Redevelopment Agency ("former Agency") owned 14 non-housing properties, some of which were comprised of multiple assessor parcels which transferred by operation of law to the Successor Agency. We along with RSG are currently working to complete a draft of the PMP, which will allow for the transfer or sale of the 14 properties.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER & SKATE PARK

The Technology Center and Skate Park are located on a three parcels totaling approximately 39,831 square feet in size. The Technology Center contains a 4,800 square foot single-story building. The property was purchased by the Bell Community Redevelopment Agency in 2003 and has been utilized by the City to provide community services since the time of its acquisition. Most recently, a non-profit organization called the Southeast Community Development Corporation (SCDC) provided computer classes at the Technology Center under an MOU that was approved in May 2012. This program was grant funded and when the grant ended in December 2012, the classes ended. The City is considering a lease agreement with SCDC that would enable SCDC to operate a new program that would involve receiving used computer equipment, providing vocational classes to refurbish used computers and selling them at a low cost to the community.

The Skate Park has been closed since September 2012 due to low use by Bell residents and public safety problems. However, we are looking into transitioning the Skate Park into another recreational use. For instance, we are considering leasing the Skate Park to Cal South, a non-profit organization that provides futsal programming for all ages.

To accomplish these actions, the Successor Agency must expedite the transfer of the property to the City for governmental purposes. Therefore, at its next meeting, the Oversight Board will be asked to approve the governmental transfer of the Technology Center and Skate Park.
property to the City. Once approved by the Oversight Board, the Board’s action will be forwarded to DOF for approval.

The DOF has five days to request further review of the actions. If a review is not requested, the property may transfer after the five-day waiting period. If a review is requested, the DOF has 60 days to review documentation and make a determination. The property may transfer upon written approval from the DOF, or upon the expiration of the 60-day period, whichever is sooner. Should the DOF reject the transfer, the Successor Agency will have the option to provide additional information if available, and/or proceed in preparing a PMP to dispose of any properties subject to the disapproved transfer.

If the transfer is approved by the DOF, the final step in the process is for the City to approve the lease agreements with SCDC and Cal South to operate the new programs at the Technology Center and Skate Park site.

This matter was presented to the Successor Agency at its April 9, 2014 meeting as an informational item and no Successor Agency members objected to this item being brought to the Oversight Board for action.

ATTACHMENT

- Map of Property
RESOLUTION NO. 2014-04 OB

A RESOLUTION OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD TO THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE DISSOLVED BELL COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY APPROVING TRANSFER OF GOVERNMENTAL USE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 4357 GAGE AVENUE TO THE CITY OF BELL

WHEREAS, the Bell Successor Agency ("Successor Agency") is a public body, corporate and politic, organized and existing under the California Community Redevelopment Law (Health & Safety Code §§ 33000 et seq.); and

WHEREAS, the City of Bell is a municipal corporation and a charter city organized and existing under the Constitution of the State of California ("City"); and

WHEREAS, on December 29, 2011, the California Supreme Court issued its opinion in the case California Redevelopment Association, et al. v. Ana Matosantsos, etc., et al., Case No. S196861, and upheld the validity of Assembly Bill x1 26 ("ABx1 26") and invalidated Assembly Bill x1 27; and

WHEREAS, the Court’s decision results in the implementation of ABx1 26 which dissolves all the redevelopment agencies in the State of California as of February 1, 2012; and

WHEREAS, the City is, by operation of law, the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency for purposes of winding-down the Redevelopment Agency under ABx1 26 and AB 1484; and

WHEREAS, Health & Safety Code Section 34181(a) requires the Oversight Board to direct the Successor Agency to transfer all assets of the former Bell Community Redevelopment Agency that were constructed and used for a governmental purpose to the appropriate public jurisdiction pursuant to any existing agreements relating to the construction or use of such an asset; and

WHEREAS, Health & Safety Code Section 34191.3 authorizes the Successor Agency to transfer properties constructed and used for a governmental purpose immediately, and prior to a finding of completion authorized under 34179.7; and

WHEREAS, the property located at 4357 Gage Avenue known as Assessor Parcel Number APNs 6317-023-901, 902 and 904, ("Property") is an asset of the Successor Agency (Map of Property attached as Exhibit 1); and

WHEREAS, the Property was purchased for the valid governmental purpose of a community services center and park; and

WHEREAS, the Property is currently being used for the valid governmental purpose of a community services center and a public recreational area; and

WHEREAS, the City is the appropriate public jurisdiction that should receive the Property, because it is the public jurisdiction that is engaging in the described valid
governmental purpose of providing a community services center and a public recreational area; and

WHEREAS, the Successor Agency desires to transfer the Property to the City as a property constructed and used for the City's valid governmental purpose, and

WHEREAS, the City desires to receive the Property so that it may continue to use the Property for its valid governmental purpose; and

WHEREAS, all other legal prerequisites to the adoption of this Resolution have occurred.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Oversight Board to the Successor Agency to the Dissolved Bell Community Redevelopment Agency, resolves as follows:

SECTION 1. The foregoing Recitals are true and correct and are incorporated herein.

SECTION 2. The Property is an asset of the Successor Agency that was purchased and used for the current valid governmental purpose of a community services center and public recreational area.

SECTION 3. The City is the appropriate public jurisdiction to receive the Property, because it is the public jurisdiction that provides public services related to the governmental use of the Property.

SECTION 4. The Successor Agency is hereby authorized to transfer the Property from the Successor Agency to the City of Bell pursuant to Health & Safety Code sections 34181(a), 34191.3, and any other applicable law.

SECTION 5. This Resolution shall take effect five business days after its adoption by the Oversight Board unless the California Department of Finance ("DOF") requests a review of this Resolution pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 34179, in which case this Resolution shall be effective 60 calendar days after the DOF has requested a review or the DOF has issued a letter showing its approval, whichever occurring sooner.

PASSED, APPROVED and ADOPTED at a meeting of the Bell Oversight Board held on this 24th day of April 2014, by the following vote:

______________________________
OVERSIGHT BOARD CHAIR

ATTEST:
OVERSIGHT BOARD SECRETARY

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

____________________________________
David Aleshre, CITY ATTORNEY

I, Janet Martinez, Interim City Clerk, Bell, California, hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Bell Oversight Board to the former Bell Community Redevelopment Agency at the Oversight Board meeting held on the 24th day of April, 2014 and passed by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

____________________________________
Oversight Board Secretary
EXHIBIT A

MAP OF PROPERTY

[Attached behind this page]
Exhibit 1 Skate Park/Tech Center Property