MEMORANDUM

To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
From: Howard W. Brown, Jr., City Manager
CC: City Department Directors
Date: August 29, 2017

Upcoming Meetings

- Wednesday, September 6, 2017 – Regular Planning Commission Meeting at 7:00 p.m.
- Wednesday, September 13, 2017 – Regular City Council Meeting canceled. This meeting will be rescheduled for Wednesday, September 20, 2017.
- Wednesday, September 27, 2017 – Regular City Council Meeting: Closed Session at 5:00 p.m. and Open Session at 7:00 p.m.

Administration

- I attended Supervisor's Hilda L. Solis' 2nd Annual Southeast LA Cities Roundtable at Bell Gardens on Thursday, August 17, 2017. Various elected officials and city managers attended this event and discussed issues such as homelessness, parks, and transportation.
- The Front Counter Report is now available for July 2017.
- I met with Congreso Comunitario on Tuesday, August 8, 2017. Acting Community Development Director and Code Enforcement Supervisor joined the meeting and discussed with the group community development projects, the Mayor's Clean Up Project, code enforcement violations, and AUMA concerns. Minutes attached.
- Planning Commissioner Jocelyn Salgado submitted a letter of resignation on August 17, 2017. A Special Vacancy Notice will be posted Tuesday, August 29, 2017 on the City's website, City Hall, Bell Library and Community Center. Staff will also release an announcement on social media. The deadline to submit applications is Wednesday, September 13, 2017. The applications will be presented to Council at the September 20, 2017 meeting where Vice Mayor Quintana will be able to appoint a member to the Planning Commission. Attached is the resignation letter and the Special Vacancy Notice.
- Senator Ricardo Lara’s 2nd Annual Labor Breakfast and Worker Appreciation Awards will take place on Friday, September 8, 2017 at the Museum of Latin American Art located at 628 Alamitos Ave., Long Beach, CA 90802.
• The League of California Cities and the City of Long Beach will be hosting a press conference in opposition to SB 649 (Hueso) on Wednesday, August 30th at Pierpoint Landing located at 200 Aquarium Way, Long Beach, CA 90802. More information attached.

• DTSC Exide Advisory Group E-Newsletter is now available. The next Exide Advisory Group meeting will take place Thursday, September 21, 2017 from 4:00 – 6:00 p.m. at the Commerce Senior Citizens Center located at 2555 Commerce Way, Commerce, CA 90040. A copy of the e-newsletter is attached.

Human Resources

• The following recruitments are currently open and available on the City's website:
  o Accounting Manager – Application deadline is Friday, September 1, 2017
  o Sr. Human Resources Analyst – Application deadline is Friday, September 15, 2017
  o Recreation Leader I (P/T) – Application deadline is Friday, September 15, 2017

Contracts & RFPs

• Staff is working with Consolidated Disposal Services on a new bulky-item pick up agreement

• The following contracts and items will be up for discussion at the September 27, 2017 Council meeting:
  o Lead Tech Environmental – CDBG Lead & Asbestos Testing Services (expires on October 14, 2017). Contract has three (3) one-year extensions.
  o Consolidated Disposal Services bulky item pick-up – new agreement
  o Solid Waste Audit Consulting Services – new agreement
  o Second Tow Company – new agreement

Community Development

• CDBG – If you have the opportunity, please stop by and welcome the new part-time employee that will be administering the Residential Rehabilitation Program. SaraBeth Suarez previously has been working in the Community Services Department as a Recreation Aide. SaraBeth is a recent graduate of UCLA and attended Bell High School.

Planning Commission:

• A request to the City of Bell Planning Commission to consider an amendment to Conditional Use Permit for 4667-4677 Gage Avenue (Funeral Home). They are requesting to demo and reconstruct a larger facility.

• Moved from the previously scheduled special meeting held on August 17th - A discussion to establish a pre-application process to assist applicants who maybe further along in conceptualizing a potential project, but is not ready to make a formal application submittal.

• Moved from the previously scheduled special meeting held on August 17th - A discussion on the possibility of drafting an ordinance that would regulate metal security gates on business doors/windows. Metal security gates are a concern because its use can create a perception that the area has a high crime rate and is unsafe.
Public Works/Engineering:

- You may have noticed that the street striping was completed along Pine Avenue and the Parking Lot across from the Community Center. Rather than using standard paint, a thermoplastic compound was used which will last much longer than ordinary paint.
- The Tanko Lighting Survey is ongoing and on schedule.
- Nine (9) palm trees were pruned at the request of the Congreso Comunitario group and Luis Mesa.
- Staff will be contacting the new Atlantic Median/Street Ad Hoc Committee to schedule the initial kick-off meeting once the agreement is executed and insurance is on file.

Code Enforcement:

- Thursday, August 10, 2017- Code Enforcement Supervisor Rich Arriola observed a building in the 4000 block of Gage Avenue with a dilapidated façade and in need of maintenance. CE Officer R. Monroy was assigned the case and identified the problem. A Notice of Violation was mailed to the property owner who responded by painting the entire building.
- Tuesday, August 15, 2017- Code Enforcement received an anonymous phone call stating illegal activity taking place in the 6400 block of Sherman Way. The complaint was forwarded to Bell Police Department.
- Thursday, August 17, 2017- In the 6900 block of San Luis Avenue, the property owner has begun demolition of a dilapidated structure. The structure was damaged over the Fourth of July weekend.
- Thursday, August 17, 2017- Sr. Code Enforcement Jose Garcia observed a property located in the 6200 block of Prospect Avenue with a reoccurring problem. The property owner has an excessive amount of storage visible from a right of way location. Contact with the property owner was attempted but not obtained. A Final Notice of Violation was mailed for the removal of trash and excessive outside storage from the property and public view.
- Thursday, August 17, 2017- CE Officer R. Monroy responded to the 4600 block of Florence Avenue at the request of Bell Police Department. The property owner was attempting to evict an unwanted tenant. CE Officer R. Monroy explained to all parties this was a civil matter and would need to be handled in that manner.

- Code Enforcement issued seven (7) Administrative Citations over the past two weeks due to non-compliance of the Bell Municipal Code.

Police Department

- On August 10, 2017 Officers from all of Los Angeles County were recognized by Mothers Against Drunk Driving (MADD) for their arrests and investigations. Four Bell Police Officers were recognized and received awards for arresting drunk drivers in the city of Bell. The Bell Police Department is proud of Officers Hector Mercado, Carlos Silva, Henry Fernandez, and Sergeant John Walker for their efforts in the fight against drunk drivers.

- Police Department statistics for the week of August 14th – August 20th is now available. Please see attached.
Community Services

- Due to an issue with the Bell High School pool filter system, we had to close the pool and the open swim sessions from Sunday, August 6th through Friday, August 11th. We re-opened the pool on Saturday, August 12th and concluded the Summer Aquatics program on Sunday, August 13, 2017. There were a total of 2,035 participants who enjoyed the open swim sessions throughout the summer.

- Saturday, August 19, 2017 was the first day of the Chupones soccer program with 105 participants, ages 3-6.

- The second concert of the Concerts in the Park summer series was held on Thursday, August 10th with the bands Cadetes de Linares and Luz Roja. Alta Med and Chamber of Commerce joined the Community Services Department in the resource booths. Te Amo Pizza, Mapuche Food Truck, and Aguas Frescas were available for concert goers to enjoy food and drinks.

- The third concert of the Concerts in the Park series took place on Thursday, August 17, 2017. The band El Show de los Apson opened the night followed by La Sonora de Siempre. There were approximately 600 people in attendance. Our vendors for the evening included Te Amo Pizza, Aguas Frescas, and Go Fusion n’ Grill. The Wall-Las Memorias Project joined the resource booths along with the Chamber of Commerce and Community Services Department.

- The August Family Food Truck Night was held on Friday, August 11th. The American Cancer Society and The Wall-Las Memorias Project were present at the resource booths along with the Community Services Department. Three trucks participated including The Canyon Catering Co., Churro Stix LA, and Sonic BBQ Co. The Twin Seas band held an hour performance for those in attendance.

- New student registration for the Playschool Programs at Veterans’ Memorial Park and Camp Little Bear began Monday, August 7th. Both locations met capacity by the end of the week.

- The last week of Fun Camp ran from August 6th – August 11th. It was a successful week with a maximum of 100 participants. The participants attended an excursion to Splash Water Park and ended their last day with water fun activities.

- Transit Services: Dial A Cab- 158 trips; TAP Sales- 6 College, 44 Seniors, 13 Disabled & 14 K-12

Finance Department

- Finance and Engineering departments are finalizing the CalRecycle Grant reporting. The due date for the report is Friday, September 1, 2017

- Finance staff is working with Consultant Diana Cho to respond to the CDBG audit. The deadline for this response is also Friday, September 1, 2017.

- Finance staff is preparing the financial data requested by the County Controller’s office for the Street Project Audit. County auditors are planning to be on site on Tuesday, September 5th and Wednesday, September 6th.

- At the same time the Finance staff is working on the PBC (Provided by Client) list requested by the auditor for the fiscal year ending 2016-17. There are 40 items that need to be provided before the auditor arrives on Friday, September 15, 2017.
- Finance Director, Community Services Director, and Contracts and Facilities Manager are working together to issue an RFP for the City's website design upgrade.

END OF MEMORANDUM
Front Counter Report – July 2017

A total of 95 visits to Bell City Hall were reported in July 2017. Unlike previous reports, the Administration Department and the Community Development Department had reportable visits for the month of July. Figure 1 shows the number of visits per department.

The Administration Department serviced 15 visits. The majority of the visits were for the Human Resources and Risk Management Division, accounting 53% of the department's visits. Figure 2 shows more detail.
Community Development Department received 84% of the total visits for the month. The Building and Planning Division serviced 60% of the visitors, followed by Code Enforcement (27%), Engineering (10%), and Public Works (3%). The chart in Figure 4 describes the purpose of visits.

![Community Development Department Report](image)

**Figure 3**

![Purpose of Visits - Community Development](image)

**Figure 4**

End of Report

Source: Sharepoint System/City of Bell Intranet
Report Created: August 2017
By: City Manager’s Office
Congreso Comunitario Meeting Minutes
Tuesday, August 8, 2017

I. Mayor’s Clean-up
   a. Goal: finish cleaning Randolph
   b. Group would like to arrange a meeting with Congresswoman Roybal-Allard to help keep Randolph clean; would like to have Bell Mayor involved
   c. The group would like to see the “Illegal Dumping” sign to include a municipal code – staff is working on that
   d. Next focus: Florence to Gage on Atlantic – litter issue near the Western Auto site

II. Western Auto Site – Economic Development
   a. Acting Director of Community Development gave an update

III. Microbrewery (Gage and Pine property)
   a. First reading to change the BMC will take place on Wednesday, August 9th
   b. Brewery needs to apply for Conditional Use Permit and needs to be approved by the Planning Commission

IV. Planning Commission
   a. Group was upset that the regular Planning Commission meeting was cancelled on August 2, 2017 due to no quorum
   b. Special Planning Commission meeting will be on Thursday, August 17th

V. Code Enforcement - signage
   a. Recommendation from the group: local business signage should be allowed for more than 30 days.
   b. BMC: Grand opening signs are allowed to be displayed for 30 days and for established businesses signage is allowed to be up for 20 days per year (BMC 5.54.050).
   c. Code has not been updated since 1997
   d. Michael Baker is researching signage code in surrounding cities
   e. Staff will provide an update to group in the next meeting

VI. AUMA
   a. Councilwoman Romero and Councilman Saleh are part of the AUMA Ad Hoc Committee
   b. The Ad Hoc Committee went to the City of Adelanto for a tour of their cannabis facilities to learn about the City’s regulations
   c. There are several safety concerns from the group about allowing cannabis facilities in the City of Bell
   d. City Manager will recommend the Council to have two or three general public meetings to discuss the options and concerns

VII. Next meeting to be announced. Topics of discussion will include the City’s signage code and moratorium for the use of erected canopies, garage conversions, driveway extensions, and unpermitted patio covers.
What They Are Saying About Senate Bill 649 (Hueso)

#NoOnSB649

San Francisco Chronicle
San Francisco Chronicle, June 27, 2017
Op-Ed by Mark Farrell and Harlan L. Kelly Jr.
Our leaders in Sacramento should stand up for the rights of citizens of our cities instead of offering a handout to multibillion-dollar corporations. SB649 is a triple-ripoff: Our neighborhoods lose flexibility to address visual blight, our taxpayers get shorted by caps on lease revenue, and our residents will still pay the same high prices for wireless service.

The Sacramento Bee
The Sacramento Bee, June 27, 2017
Op-Ed by Carolyn Coleman, Matt Cate, Greg Norton
SB 649 – introduced by Sen. Ben Hueso, a San Diego Democrat and set to be heard by the Assembly Local Government Committee on Wednesday – would eliminate public input for locating and installing antennas as large as 6 cubic feet and equipment boxes the size of a refrigerator on streets and traffic lights in your neighborhood.

Los Angeles Times
Los Angeles Times, July 5, 2017
The Times Editorial Board
But the bill goes far beyond setting a reasonable fee to access public property; it would usurp the rights of cities and counties to make decisions about how to use their property. ... That’s why local governments must retain some authority to push back on proposed deployments. Left to their own devices, telecommunications companies would naturally opt for the most efficient and cost-effective configuration when making a capital investment. Their goals are making profits and serving customers, not making a city look nice.

San Francisco Chronicle
San Francisco Chronicle, June 27, 2017
San Francisco Editorial Board
Telecom firms such as Verizon and AT&T are pushing Sacramento to pass a law that would essentially remove the control cities and counties now have over where the equipment goes and how much localities can charge. In San Francisco’s case, the loss could total in the millions, according to Supervisor Mark Farrell, an opponent of the measure, SB649.
The San Diego Union-Tribune, June 27, 2017
Article by David Garrick
Critics, including more than 120 cities and counties across the state, say the law is a giant financial giveaway to the phone companies because it would force local governments to let them install antennas on public property for next to nothing.

The Sun, July 11, 2017
Op-Ed by Sandra Armenta
While the bill eviscerates local control for inland and rural communities, it contains a special carve-out for areas along the coast. SB649 protects local control for coastal communities, continuing to give residents in these communities a say in where telecom equipment can go. Inland California, meanwhile, will be blighted by hundreds of thousands of new cell-phone towers wherever these companies want to put them. This cynical carve out is aimed at curry ing favor among the politically powerful, and exploiting those whose voice is so often ignored in our state capitol. SB649 hurts disadvantaged communities in other ways.

Los Angeles Times, July 10, 2017
Column by George Skelton
“They’d get to deploy their equipment on their own terms wherever they wanted to deploy it,” says Rony Berdugo, lobbyist for the League of California Cities. “It would force counties and cities to lease their public property for wireless equipment. And it would eliminate negotiated leases. “We now have discretion,” Berdugo continues. “We can say, ‘We don’t want it on this pole; put it on another pole. Make your equipment the same color as the pole.’ They could put up something the size of a refrigerator on a street light by your front yard. We don’t want refrigerators on poles outside people’s windows.” Local citizens wouldn’t have a meaningful say. There’d be no public input. It would be strictly Sacramento’s call.

The Fresno Bee, June 27, 2017
Op-Ed by Carolyn Coleman, Matt Cate, Greg Norton
Verizon, AT&T, T-Mobile and Sprint are pushing this legislation because they want to be able to put their equipment wherever they want, while not paying a fair share to offset community impacts. Removing visual blight is important to our residents, who often work for years to beautify their neighborhoods. Cities and counties go through great expense to put unsightly poles and wires underground. SB 649, however, would undo those efforts by forcing local governments to make space available to allow this unsightly equipment.
San Jose Mercury News

The Mercury News, July 5, 2017
Article by Ramona Giwargis

San Jose Mayor Sam Liccardo, who went to the state Capitol last week to testify against the bill, said it forces the city to give wireless corporations access to public property without input about the equipment’s location or size. The bill also doesn’t allow San Jose to charge fair rates for leases, he added, and provides no guarantee that low-income areas will get better wireless connectivity.

Interview with Yolo County Supervisor Don Saylor
Southern California Public Radio, July 5, 2017

Opponents say the legislation is a financial boon for telecommunication companies and would strip local governments of their power over cell tower installation... It would also allow telecommunications companies to put up towers without public input - meaning a tower could show up in front of your house and the city wouldn’t be able to stop it.

48 hills

48 Hills, July 10, 2017
Article by Tim Redmond

And even when cities (including the SF Board of Supes), counties, and big-city newspapers (including the Chron, the LA Times, and the Sacramento Bee) say a bill is bad, it can still soar through – if it’s backed by the likes of AT&T and the cell-phone industry.

Pleasanton Weekly.com

Pleasanton Weekly.com, July 6, 2017
Pleasanton Weekly Editorial Board

It also smacks of eminent domain abuse by, basically, taking the authority away of cities, counties and other local government bodies by making them unable to decide the best use for public land.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MYTHS</th>
<th>FACTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **1** | **FACT**: There’s no mention, let alone a requirement for the equipment to deliver 5G or any standard level of technology. After the “meets the following qualifications,” it only specifies the size of the equipment – no tech standard is ever mentioned.  
**Bill Language**: 18 (2) (A) “Small cell” means a wireless telecommunications facility, as defined … or a wireless facility that uses licensed or unlicensed spectrum … |
| **2** | **FACT**: There’s no requirement anywhere in the bill for the technology to ever be deployed, nor has there been any proof that cities have been an obstacle to deployment, and in our view, this bill would actually hurt deployment efforts because the fee caps incentivize deployment in population hubs since they can deploy there well below market rates.  
**Bill Language**: NA |
| **3** | **FACT**: Aside from the 35 cubic feet of allowances for “small cell” equipment, the bill goes on to exclude a host of “ancillary” equipment in the bill, meaning there’s virtually an unlimited amount and size of equipment that can be installed for small cells. Additionally, this bill applies to “micro-wireless” facilities that have nothing to do with 5G as well as ALL communications facilities used by any industry, including gas, electric, and water.  
**Bill Language**: 22 (i) The small cell antennas … total no more than six cubic feet in volume, … associated equipment on pole structures does not exceed nine cubic feet … total of associated equipment on pole structures does not exceed 21 cubic feet. The cumulative total of any ground-mounted equipment … on any pole or nonpole structure does not exceed 35 cubic feet … ancillary equipment are not included in the calculation of equipment volume … “Small cell” includes a micro wireless facility. |
| **4** | **FACT**: Neither the intent nor the plain language of the bill limit this new permitting process to just the public right-of-way. It’s clear that ANY zone, including commercial and industrial use zones are INCLUDED under the parameters of this bill.  
**Bill Language**: 22 (1) The small cell is located in the public rights-of-way in any zone or in any zone that includes a commercial or industrial use. 29 (f) Grants providers fair, reasonable, nondiscriminatory, and nonexclusive access to locally owned utility poles, streetlights, and other suitable host infrastructure located within the public rights-of-way and in other local public places such as [transit stations and public buildings] … |
## MYTHS

### MYTH: SB 649 ensures locals get to retain their discretion.

**FACT:** It’s clear from both the intent and plain statutory language of the bill that SB 649 aims to eliminate the discretionary permitting process and move it over into the ministerial, or over-the-counter permitting process. Because the bill defines “small cells” cities are unable to require anything more restrictive than those definitions. Additionally, the inclusion of the word “feasible” means industry gets to make a determination as to what’s feasible (affordable, timely, etc) for their design standards and equipment, not the local government.

*Bill Language:* 18 (c) Recognizes that...individual small wireless facilities...should not be subject to discretionary zoning review.

29 (b) (1) ...small cell be approved pursuant to a building permit...with placement outside of the public rights-of-way or an encroachment permit ... for the placement in public rights-of-way...A condition to comply with feasible design and collocation standards on a small cell to be installed on property not in the rights-of-way.

### MYTH: SB 649 will allow local governments to impose a $250 charge they are not currently collecting IN ADDITION TO being able to collect lease revenues using a specified formula.

**FACT:** SB 649 creates an arbitrary $250 charge that cities can apply for every small cell installation instead of allowing cities to impose market rate leases for use of their public facilities as they currently do. In addition to the $250 charge, SB 649 established a “formula” for cities to be able to collect a lease, or an “attachment rate,” that is so administratively burdensome cities simply will not go through that process and instead live with the $250 charge.

For example, can cities figure out what the “annual costs of ownership” is for a stop sign, street light, and traffic light separately for every small cell installation?

Furthermore, would it be worth it for cities to go through this burdensome process to only collect a fraction of what would normally be a market rate lease? Cities could expend more funds trying to make such calculations than what they would get under the formula.

*Bill Language:* 10 (d) (1) A city or county may charge the following fees: An annual charge not to exceed two hundred fifty dollars ($250) for each small cell attached to city or county vertical infrastructure.

14 (B) An annual attachment rate that does not exceed an amount resulting from the following requirements: The city or county shall calculate the rate by multiplying the percentage of the total usable space that would be occupied by the attachment by the annual costs of ownership of the vertical infrastructure and its anchor, if any. The city or county shall not levy a rate that exceeds the estimated amount required to provide use of the vertical infrastructure for which the annual recurring rate is levied. If the rate creates revenues in excess of actual costs, the city or county shall use those revenues to reduce the rate.

25 (iii) For purposes of this subparagraph: “Annual costs of ownership” means the annual capital costs and annual operating costs of the vertical infrastructure, which shall be the average costs of all similar vertical infrastructure owned or controlled by the city or county. The basis for the computation of annual capital costs shall be historical capital costs less depreciation. The accounting upon which the historical capital costs are determined shall include a credit for all reimbursed capital costs. Depreciation shall be based upon the average service life of the vertical infrastructure. Annual cost of ownership does not include costs for any property not necessary for use by the small cell. "Usable space" means the space above the minimum grade that can be used for the attachment of antennas and associated ancillary equipment.

---

**Vote No on SB 649 (HUESO)**
1. Under SB 649 these could be on nearly every street and public building in your neighborhood:

2. SB 649 does not require “small cells” to actually be as small as the picture below, or to blend into the pole or the environment where it is placed.

SB 649 goes way beyond “small cell” antennas by deregulating all local authority over “micro-wireless” facilities that dangle in between utility poles.

(4) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this section, the installation, placement, maintenance, or replacement of micro-wireless facilities that are suspended, whether embedded or attached, on cables or lines that are strung between existing utility poles in compliance with state safety codes shall be exempt from permitting requirements and fees.
SPECIAL VACANCY NOTICE

PLANNING COMMISSION

NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC: In compliance with the requirements of the Maddy Act, Government Code Section 54972, the City Council of the City of Bell will be accepting applications to fill one (1) vacant seat.

The creation of the Planning Commission (“Commission”) was established by the City Council on July 27, 2016 through the adoption of Ordinance 1214. The purpose of the Commission is to consider projects involving the use and development of land in the City of Bell.

To serve in the Planning Commission you must be 18 years of age, a Bell resident and a register voter of the City for at least thirty days prior to the appointment. The term of the Commissioners will be concurrent with the term of the councilmember who nominated them.

Interested applicants can obtain an application for this commission at City Hall or on the City’s website at www.cityofbell.org. Applications could be submitted at City Hall or can be emailed to abustamante@cityofbell.org. All applications must be submitted by September 13, 2017 at 4:00 P.M. The applications will be reviewed by the City Council at a Special City Council meeting of September 20, 2017. If you need further information, please contact the City Clerk’s Office at 323-588-6211 ext. 2615 between the hours of 8:00 A.M through 4:00 P.M.

For information on the duties and responsibilities for the Planning Commission, please visit the City’s website.

I, Angela Bustamante, City Clerk of the City of Bell, do hereby certify that I posted the foregoing Notice on August 29, 2017, pursuant to the requirements of the Maddy Act, Government Code 54972.

Angela Bustamante, City Clerk
NEXT EXIDE ADVISORY GROUP MEETING
Thursday, September 21, 2017
4:00pm - 6:00pm
Commerce Senior Citizens Center
2555 Commerce Way
Commerce, CA 90040

Followed by:
COMMUNITY MEETING
6:30pm - 8:30 pm

INVITATION FOR BID (IFB)

On August 10, 2017, the Department of Toxic Substances Control released an Invitation for Bid (IFB) in relation to the Exide cleanup project. The purpose of the IFB is to solicit bids to select up to two contractors to cleanup lead-impacted soil at approximately 2,500 sensitive land use properties, such as residential properties, schools, parks, day care centers, and child care facilities, within the preliminary Investigation Area (PIA)-the area within an approximately the 1.7-mile radius surrounding the former Exide Technologies (Exide) Facility.

As mentioned in the IFB, DTSC's cleanup objectives are to (1) promptly clean up sensitive land use properties in the PIA in a manner that will achieve a cleanup goal that is protective of public health and the environment, (2) protect the current and future health of the residential population from exposure to lead in soil that presents an unacceptable risk to sensitive individuals through ingestion, inhalation, and dermal or direct contact, (3) restore
disturbed soils to a condition compatible with the existing and reasonably anticipated future land use, (4) minimize the volume of lead-impacted soil to be disposed in a landfill, (5) minimize, to the extent practicable, the need for land use controls, and (6) minimize short-term adverse impacts to the residential community due to fugitive dust and soil transport. These cleanup objectives must be conducted in a manner consistent with the Removal Action Plan (Cleanup Plan).

Responses to the IFB are being accepted until September 12, 2017 at 4:00pm.

COMMERCE OFFICE OPEN HOUSE

On September 15, 2017, DTSC will have an open house (4 p.m. - 8 p.m.) at its new City of Commerce location: 6119 E. Washington Blvd, #120 Commerce, CA 90040. DTSC staff will be available to read sampling results and answer questions. Several DTSC community partners will be joining DTSC to provide information and offer additional services to the community.

TIME CRITICAL REMOVAL ACTIONS (TCRA)
On January 2017, DTSC released a Time Critical Removal Action (TCRA) Guidance document describing the steps that the department will take to evaluate properties on a case-by-case basis to determine if a TCRA is warranted while the Cleanup Plan was in development and ramping up. The guidance document was also presented to the Advisory Group in the same month.

The guidance document applies to residential properties, schools, day care centers, and child care facilities, and parks within the PIA. DTSC’s goal is to ensure that timely and appropriate actions are taken to prevent exposures to sensitive populations. DTSC has made progress in evaluating properties for TCRA actions and has worked with property owners to schedule the cleanups at residences and daycares. To date, DTSC has completed 26 TCRAs. The breakdown of those TCRAs by community are as follows:

- 8 Remedial Actions in Boyle Heights
- 11 Remedial Actions in East Los Angeles
- 5 Remedial Actions in Maywood
- 2 Remedial Action in Huntington Park

The department is moving forward in working with homeowners and residents to evaluate and schedule these TCRAs.

RESOURCES ON FRUIT TREES

On March 7, 2017, DTSC partnered with the Master Gardeners of Los Angeles County. The Master Gardeners attended a community meeting presenting information about growing vegetables and fruit trees in urban communities. The Master Gardeners provided DTSC with resources for residents. These resources are available at the following link:


RESIDENTIAL SAMPLING UPDATE

Over the last 14 months, DTSC has undertaken a variety of dedicated efforts to sign up residents for soil sampling. These efforts included informational sessions, door to door canvassing, mailings, etc. This work has been made in conjunction with many local partners including non-governmental organizations, youth groups, local county officials, city jurisdictions, etc. As a result of these combined efforts, as of August 14, 2017, DTSC has gained access and sampled over 8,300 parcels.
FACILITY CLOSURE

Current Facility Closure Activities

- DTSC has identified Parsons Environment and Infrastructure Group to act as the 3rd Party Oversight Quality Assurance Contractor for Closure Activities. DTSC is working through the contracting process and anticipates concluding the same in late August - early September 2017. On-the-ground closure activities will begin shortly thereafter.
- On August 7, 2017, DTSC directed Exide to make additional edits, primarily to the Health and Safety Plan (HASP) based on DTSC review of Exide’s Draft-Final Closure Implementation Plan (CIP), and both DTSC’s and Cal/OSHA’s review of Exide’s HASP.
- On July 14, 2017, DTSC received a Draft-Final CIP. The Draft-Final CIP also included a revised HASP.
- On May 26, 2017, South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) issued a Title V permit to Exide for the Vernon, California facility.

Historical Facility Closure Activities (presented for background)

- On June 15, 2017, DTSC reviewed Exide’s response to DTSC comments on the Draft CIP and directed Exide to submit a revised Draft-Final CIP.
- On May 5, 2017, Exide submitted response to DTSC comments on the Draft CIP.
- On March 31, 2017, DTSC submitted comments on the CIP to Exide. DTSC’s comment letter also included comments from Cal/OSHA on the HASP, which was submitted with the CIP as Attachment 5. Cal/OSHA commented on the HASP only. SCAQMD submitted their comments on the Draft CIP under a separate cover.
- On January 9, 2017, Exide submitted a Draft CIP to DTSC as required in the DTSC approved Closure Plan.
- On December 8, 2016, DTSC approved the Closure Plan and Certified the Environmental Impact Report.

CLEANUP PLAN/EIR

On July 17, 2017, the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) released its Final Cleanup Plan and Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the cleanup of lead-impacted soil in neighborhoods around the former Exide Technologies, Inc. facility in Vernon. The Cleanup Plan calls for cleaning up approximately 2,500 properties within 1.7 miles of the former battery-recycling facility over a two-year period.

To select properties for cleanup, DTSC will evaluate those properties with the highest levels of lead in soil and greatest risk of exposure. For each property sampled, the results were statistically analyzed to determine a representative, property-wide lead level that is more health protective than a simple average of results. Using these sampling and analysis criteria, the Cleanup Plan provides for the following categories of properties:

- Residential properties with a representative soil lead concentration of 400 parts per million (ppm) or higher.
- Residential properties with a representative soil lead concentration of less than 400 ppm, but where any soil sampling result of 1,000 ppm or higher is detected.
- Daycare and child care centers with a representative soil lead concentration of 80 ppm or higher that have
not yet been cleaned up.

Additionally, all parks and schools that require cleanup will be cleaned up during this phase.

MARK YOUR CALENDARS FOR UPCOMING ADVISORY GROUP AND PUBLIC MEETINGS

Advisory Group Meetings have been scheduled for 2017. We are always on the lookout for easily accessible meeting locations in Boyle Heights, East Los Angeles, Vernon, Commerce, Maywood, and Bell. Please let Johanna.arias-bhatia@dtsc.ca.gov know if you have any suggestions on where we can hold one of our upcoming meetings and on which of the dates below:

2017

○ Thursday, September 21, 2017 (Advisory Group and Public Meeting)
○ Thursday, November 16, 2017 (Advisory Group only)

PRÓXIMA REUNIÓN DEL GRUPO ASESOR DE EXIDE

Jueves, 21 de Septiembre del 2017
04:00pm - 06:00pm

Commerce Senior Citizens Center
2555 Commerce Way
Commerce, CA 90040

También Tendremos:
Reunión Pública
El 10 de agosto del 2017, del Departamento de Control de Sustancias Tóxicas lanzó una invitación para oferta (IFB) en relación al proyecto de limpieza residencial de Exide. El propósito de la IFB es solicitar las ofertas para seleccionar a dos contratistas para la limpieza de suelos afectados por plomo en aproximadamente 2.500 propiedades de uso de tierra sensibles, tales como viviendas, escuelas, parques, guarderías y centros de cuidado infantil, en el área de investigación preliminar (PIA)-la zona de aproximadamente 1.7 millas alrededor de la antigua instalación de Exide Technologies.

Como se mencionó en el IFB, los objetivos de limpieza de DTSC son limpiar (1) rápidamente propiedades de uso de tierra sensible en el PIA para adquirir un objetivo de limpieza que sea protector a la salud pública y el medio ambiente, (2) proteger la salud actual y futura de la población residencial de exposición al plomo en el suelo que presenta un riesgo inaceptable para las personas sensibles a través de ingestión, inhalación y contacto cutáneo o directo, (3) restaurar suelos disturbados a una condición compatible con el uso del suelo futuro existente o razonablemente esperado, (4) minimizar el volumen de suelo afectado por plomo que acabe en un vertedero, (5) reducir al mínimo, a la medida de lo posible, la necesidad de controles de uso de tierra y (6) reducir al mínimo los impactos adversos a corto plazo a la comunidad residencial debido al polvo fugitivo, transporte de suelo. Estos objetivos de limpieza deben llevarse a cabo de manera consistente con el Plan de Acción de Remoción (Plan de limpieza)

Respuestas a la IFB están siendo aceptadas hasta el 12 de Septiembre del 2017.

El 15 de septiembre del 2017, DTSC tendrá un casa abierta (16:00-20:00) en su nueva ubicación en la ciudad de Commerce: 6119 E. Washington Blvd, #120 Commerce, CA 90040. El personal del DTSC estará disponible para
leer los resultados de las muestras y responder preguntas. Varios socios de la comunidad del DTSC se unirán para proporcionar información y ofrecer servicios adicionales a la comunidad.

**ACCION DE REMOCION DE TIEMPO CRITICO (TCRA)**

En Enero del 2017, DTSC publicó un documento de análisis sobre Acciones de Remocion de Tiempo Crítico (TCRA) que describe los pasos que el departamento tomará para evaluar propiedades caso por caso para determinar si un TCRA es apropiado. El documento también se discutió con el Grupo Asesor en el mismo mes.

El documento le aplica a las propiedades residenciales, escuelas, guarderías, centros de cuidado infantil, y parques dentro de el Area de Investigación Preliminar (PIA). El objetivo de DTSC es asegurar que se tomen medidas oportunas y apropiadas para prevenir la exposición a poblaciones sensibles. DTSC ha avanzado en la evaluación de propiedades para las acciones de TCRA y ha trabajado con los propietarios para programar las limpiezas en residencias y guarderías. Hasta la fecha, DTSC ha completado:

- 8 Acciones en Boyle Heights
- 11 Acciones en East Los Angeles
- 5 Acciones en Maywood
- 2 Acción en Huntington Park

El departamento está avanzando en el trabajo con propietarios y residentes para evaluar y programar estas acciones.

**RECURSOS SOBRE ÁRBOLES FRUTALES**

En 07 de Marzo de 2017, DTSC asociado con los jardineros maestros del Condado de Los Ángeles. Los jardineros maestros asistieron a una reunión que se presenta información sobre el cultivo de hortalizas y árboles frutales en comunidades urbanas. Los Master Gardeners presentan al DTSC algunos recursos para los residentes. Estos recursos están disponibles en el siguiente enlace:

ACTUALIZACION DEL MUESTREO RESIDENCIAL

En los últimos 14 meses, DTSC ha llevado a cabo una variedad de esfuerzos dedicados a los residentes para el muestreo de suelo. Estos esfuerzos incluyeron sesiones informativas, pedir el voto puerta a puerta, envíos, etcetera. Este trabajo ha realizado en colaboración con muchos socios locales, incluidas organizaciones no gubernamentales, grupos de jóvenes, oficiales del Condado locales, jurisdicciones de la ciudad, etcetera. Como resultado de estos esfuerzos combinados, a partir del 14 de agosto de 2017, DTSC ha accedido y muestrea parcelas más 8,300.

Para obtener más información sobre el muestreo y la limpieza, por favor visite www.dtsc.ca.gov/Exide.

CLAUSURA DE LA INSTALACION

Actividades Actuales para la Clausura de la Instalacion

- DTSC ha identificado a Parsons Grupo de Infraestructura para actuar como el 3er partido de supervisión y garantía de calidad para las actividades de clausura. DTSC está trabajando a través de los procesos de contratación y prevé concluir la misma a finales de agosto - principios de septiembre de 2017. Actividades de clausura en el terreno se iniciarán poco después.
- El 07 de agosto de 2017, DTSC dirigido Exide para realizar modificaciones adicionales, principalmente en el Plan de Salud y Seguridad (HASP) basado en una revisión del DTSC sobre el Plan de Implementación del Plan de Clausura y una revisión del cumplimiento con las reglas de Cal/OSHA.
- El 14 de julio de 2017, DTSC recibió un CIP de Proyecto Final. El CIP Proyecto Final también incluyó un plan se Salud y Seguridad (HASP) final.
- El 26 de mayo de 2017, el Distrito del Aire de la Costa Sur (SCAQMD) emitió un permiso de título V a Exide para la instalación de Vernon, California.

Actividades Pasadas de Clausura de las Instalaciones (presentadas como antecedentes)

- El 15 de junio de 2017, DTSC había revisado un plan de Exide sobre el proyecto CIP y dirigido Exide para presentar un CIP revisado al Plan de Clausura Final.
- El 05 de mayo de 2017, Exide presentó respuesta a los comentarios de la DTSC sobre el proyecto CIP.
- El 31 de marzo de 2017, DTSC había enviado comentarios sobre el CIP a Exide. Carta de comentario del
DTSC también incluyó comentarios de Cal/OSHA sobre el HASP, que se presentó con el CIP como anexo 5. Cal/OSHA comentó solo sobre el Plan de Salud y Seguridad (HASP). SCAMD presentó sus observaciones sobre el proyecto CIP bajo una carta separada.

- El 09 de enero de 2017, Exide presentada un proyecto CIP a DTSC según lo requerido en el DTSC aprobó el Plan de Clausura.
- El 08 de diciembre de 2016, DTSC aprobó el Plan de Clausura Final y Certificó un Reporte de Impacto Ambiental.

**Plan de Limpieza Residencial Final**

El 17 de julio de 2017, el Departamento de Control de sustancias tóxicas (DTSC) publicó su Plan de limpieza Final y el Reporte Final de impacto ambiental (EIR) para la limpieza de suelos afectados por plomo en barrios alrededor de la antigua instalación de Exide Technologies, Inc. en Vernon. El Plan de limpieza pide limpieza de aproximadamente 2,500 propiedades dentro de 1.7 millas de la antigua instalación de reciclaje de baterías durante un período de dos años.

Para seleccionar Propiedades de limpieza, DTSC evaluará las propiedades con los niveles más altos de plomo en el suelo y el mayor riesgo de exposición. Para cada vivienda analizada, los resultados fueron analizados estadísticamente para determinar un nivel de plomo representante a toda la propiedad—esto se considera una manera de proveer más protección que tomar un promedio simple de los resultados. Utilizando estos criterios de análisis y muestreo, el Plan de limpieza proporciona para las siguientes categorías de propiedades para la limpieza:

- Propiedades residenciales con un suelo representativo de una concentración de 400 partes por millón (ppm) o mayor.
- Propiedades residenciales con un suelo representativo de una concentración de menos de 400 ppm de plomo, pero donde cualquier muestra de suelo tenga como resultado más de 1,000 ppm.
- Centros de guardería y cuidado de niños con suelo representativo de una concentración con plomo de 80 ppm o superior que no se han limpiado todavía.

Además, todos los parques y las escuelas que requieren limpieza a limpiar durante esta fase.

---

**MARQUE SUS CALENDARIOS PARA LAS PROXIMAS REUNIONES DEL GRUPO ASESOR Y LAS REUNIONES PÚBLICAS**

Las Reuniones del Grupo Asesor se han programado para 2017. Siempre estamos en la búsqueda de ubicaciones de reuniones fácilmente accesibles en Boyle Heights, Los Ángeles, Vernon, Commerce, Maywood y Bell. Por favor, hable con Johanna.arias-bhatia@dtsc.ca.gov si tiene alguna sugerencia sobre dónde podemos llevar acabo una de
nuestras próximas reuniones y en cuáles de las siguientes fechas:

2017
Jueves 21 de Septiembre (Grupo Asesor y Reunión Pública)
Jueves, 16 de Noviembre (Sólo el Grupo Asesor)
## Weekly Department Information
August 14-20, 2017

### Part 1 Crimes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crime</th>
<th>08/14/17-08/20/17</th>
<th>08/07/17-08/13/17</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>YTD-17</th>
<th>YTD-16</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Homicide</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robbery</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>200%</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggravated Assault</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>-15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple Assault</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burglary</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-57%</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>-21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larceny</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>-22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Theft</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-33%</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arson</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part 1 Crimes Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>19</strong></td>
<td><strong>15</strong></td>
<td><strong>27%</strong></td>
<td><strong>657</strong></td>
<td><strong>731</strong></td>
<td><strong>-10%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OTHER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>08/14/17-08/20/17</th>
<th>08/07/17-08/13/17</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>YTD-17</th>
<th>YTD-16</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Narcotics Arrests</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-75%</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>-12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUI Arrests</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-50%</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>-48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/C Non-Injury</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>-29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/C Injury</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/C Fatal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citations</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-52%</td>
<td>1195</td>
<td>2074</td>
<td>-42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Incidents</strong></td>
<td><strong>501</strong></td>
<td><strong>510</strong></td>
<td><strong>-2%</strong></td>
<td><strong>18374</strong></td>
<td><strong>19990</strong></td>
<td><strong>-8%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Graffiti</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-100%</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>-38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>