MEMORANDUM

To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
From: Howard W. Brown, Jr., City Manager
CC: City Department Directors
Date: October 23, 2017
Re: Bi-Monthly Update and Report (week ending October 15, 2017)

Upcoming Meetings/Events

- Wednesday, October 25, 2017 – Regular City Council Meeting: Closed Session at 5:00 p.m. and Open Session at 7:00 p.m.
- Wednesday, November 1, 2017 – Regular Planning Commission Meeting at 7:00 p.m.
- Wednesday, November 8, 2017 – Regular City Council Meeting: Closed Session at 5:00 p.m. and Open Session at 7:00 p.m.
- Friday, November 3, 2017 – City of Bell’s State of the City Video Presentation at 8:30 a.m. (Bell Community Center)
- Saturday, November 4, 2017 – Bell Futsal Park Grand Opening at 11:00 a.m. (4357 Gage Ave.)
- Saturday, November 4, 2017 – City of Bell’s 90th Celebration 3:00 p.m. – 11:00 p.m. (Atlantic Ave. between Gage Ave. and Bell Ave.)

Administration

- Bell City Hall had second grade visitors from Huntington Park Elementary on Friday, October 13, 2017. The class also toured the Police Department, Fire Department, and the Bell Library. We had about 64 kids excited to learn about local government.
- I met with Congreso Comunitario on Tuesday, October 10, 2017. Some topics we discussed were AUMA legislation, SCE light poles, City Attorney fees. Minutes attached.
- DTSC sent a public notice to adopt California Code of Regulations pursuant to Senate Bill No. 1325. This Senate Bill restores the authority of DTSC to impose postclosure requirements through an enforcement order. A public workshop will be held on Thursday, November 2, 2017 from 2:00 – 4:00 pm via webcast (https://video/calepa.ca.gov). Also, a public hearing on the proposed regulation will take place December 1, 2017. More details attached.
- Front Counter Reports for August and September 2017 are attached.

Human Resources

- Police Officer Interviews were held on Tuesday, October 17th and Wednesday, October 18th.
• City staff participated on the Great Shakeout Drill on Thursday, October 19th. Employees were asked to take cover and evacuate City facilities.

Contracts & RFPs

• City Council approved the solid waste collection rate increase at the October 11th meeting. New rates will be effective at the beginning of November 2017.

• The RFP for Fleet Maintenance Services closed on October 6, 2017 and staff is currently reviewing submissions.

• The User Fee Study is finalized and a public hearing will take place at the October 25th Council meeting and will continue at the November 8th Council meeting.

• Staff is having ongoing discussions with Angelo Logan and Pacific Industrial regarding the Sleepy Lagoon Monument Project.

Community Development

• The Economic Development Ad-Hoc Committee met on Thursday, October 19th with the City Manager and Economic Development Specialist. The Committee was updated on the Shoe City site which has had the underground storage tank removed, and the Western Auto site which is moving forward with lead and asbestos testing of the existing building and the demolition of same. There are conditions that the developer would like to see as an amendment to the DDA or as a separate side letter agreement prior to initiating work. Community Development and City Attorney’s office is currently working on developing this document. Arroyo has communicated that they will be submitting demolition plans to City Hall during the week of October 23rd to initiate the permit process while the necessary agreement is drafted and approved. Regardless, there is no intention to start any work until after the November 4th celebration to avoid any conflicts. The testing and demolition of the building is expected to take approximately 2 months.

• The building and safety contractor is actively seeking a bilingual, English/Spanish speaking, building inspector. Staff notified and discussed the requirements of the agreement with the vendor after the initial inspector departed the company, and has requested a time frame in which they will be able to fulfill the requirement. At this time they do not have any highly trained English/Spanish bilingual inspectors available. Staff understands the importance of having a bilingual inspector in the community and is investigating alternative options.

• Staff has inquired with L.A. County CDC about initiating a commercial rehabilitation program through the program. There are some cities that are currently using CDBG funds for this service. Staff has already obtained guidelines from previous city employers to execute the program, however, they will need to be adjusted to meet CDBG funding requirements, as these programs were run utilizing former redevelopment funds. A note of importance is that staffing is needed to administer the program which can be provided by an outside consulting firm, however CDBG funds for administration is capped at 20%.

• Staff has also been advised by the County CDC that there is a one-time opportunity to use unspent CDBG funds from last fiscal year on public service type equipment. The County wants to expeditiously spend funds on equipment that would meet the public service category of expenditures. Staff has indicated to the County that they would like to use funds for a pressure
washer system to assist with graffiti removal (public service activity) and augment our outside contracting firm (GPC). This type of equipment could also be used throughout the City and public facilities for a number of other cleaning duties besides graffiti. Staff has also inquired about purchasing equipment for our large amount of seniors that gather at the Community Center. We inquired about items such as a new bingo system, earthquake preparedness backpacks, movie equipment, and better/more comfortable chairs for our seniors. Senior activities is an eligible public service, therefore if the County approves of these purchases, staff will bring an item before the Council to determine if they would like to move forward with such purchases.

Planning:

- A Special Planning Commission meeting was held on Wednesday, October 18th in the Council Chambers. The Special Meeting was held to accommodate a strict schedule in adopting a Marijuana Ordinance, however, there was a change to that matter and only a discussion/education item was presented.

- In addition, a CUP item was presented that concerned a 7 unit residential complex located at 6619 King Avenue. Two members of the Commission were required to recuse themselves since they lived within 500 feet of the subject site (Mackin and Rodarte). The item has been continued to the next PC meeting to be held November 1st. Additionally, the Commission requested further information from staff concerning recent comparable developments of similar size within the city.

Engineering:

- The Atlantic Ad Hoc Committee completed its final meeting on October 16th with Nuvis Landscape Architecture. The Committee focused on designing a landscape and street project for Atlantic Blvd., including new monument entry way signage. The item will be brought forth before the Council at the October 25th meeting with a recommendation to approve the conceptual design. Approval of the conceptual design would then lead to the next step of preparing a final design. Simultaneously while working on the final design, staff will begin seeking grant funding to construct the project. Two sources which our engineering firm feels we have a high percentage of obtaining funding from are the Gateway COG and state transportation funding. Matching funds would come from the City’s Measure R, Prop C, and Measure M restricted funds.

Public Works:

- Staff has coordinated a short noticed and expedited clean-up effort along the L.A. River bed area on River Drive that will begin Monday, October 23rd. Aracely Farias from the Los Angeles Conservation Corp made contact with the City indicating that L.A. County Supervisor Hilda Solis made a special request to assist the City of Bell. The Corps is currently working under a grant for another project, however, will be able to shift and allocate resources for a temporary period to assist the City. Republic trash services has been very responsive to our short notice request for wanting 3 large trash bins to be placed along River Drive. No Parking signs along River Drive will be placed in a manner that will allow vehicles to use River Drive as it is narrowed down to one-way traffic in certain areas due to the trash bins.

- Staff will bring forth a street sign item for Council direction at the November 8th Council meeting. If you recall several months ago sample signage was made available for viewing. Staff will again have 3 types of signage available for your viewing beginning Wednesday, October 25th in the
engineering office area. Three items that staff would like direction are: 1. Shape of the sign 2. Either with seal or logo, or neither 3. Color (blue or green).

**Code Enforcement:**

- Monday September 25, 2017- Code Enforcement Supervisor R. Arriola received a complaint regarding an overflowing trash bin with a bad odor in the 6800 block of Heliotrope Avenue. The complaint also stated the property owner was probably not receiving trash services. Sr. CE Officer J. Garcia arrived on scene and confirmed the trash bin was overflowing. He also observed Republic Trash Services on scene to empty the trash bin. No further action was taken.

- October 3 – 6, 2017- Code Enforcement Supervisor R. Arriola and Sr. CE Officer J. Garcia attended a three-day training conference in the city of Palm Springs. Code enforcement officers from around the state were in attendance and training was provided by the California Association of Code Enforcement Officers.

- Friday October 6, 2017- CE Officer M. Daniel was notified of a down power line in the 5200 block of Southall Ln. Southern California Edison was notified and the street was closed to repair the line.

- Sunday October 15, 2017- CE Officer M. Daniel was in the area of 5400 Gage Avenue and noticed a large group of motorcyclists. He confirmed a motorcycle club was having an event at the location. Photos were taken of the event and a Notice of Violation has since been issued to the property owner to refrain from having an event without a special event permit. The property will be monitored on the weekends to assure no further events take place.

- We issued five (5) Administrative Citations over a three week period (September 24- October 15, 2017) due to non-compliance of the Bell Municipal Code.

**Police Department**

- On October 3, 2017 Bell PD hosted an Emergency Preparedness town hall meeting. Members of the Los Angeles County Fire Department provided information on the C.E.R.T. (Community Emergency Response Team) program and how to join. It was a huge success which resulted in over 30 interested residents. If you are interested in joining please contact the fire department or go online [http://www.cert-la.com/](http://www.cert-la.com/).

- On September 22-24, 2017, Bell Police Explorers competed in the California Highway Patrol Southern California Explorer Competition. Our kids took three (3) first place, two (2) second place, and one (1) fifth place trophies. Bell PD is very proud of our explorers as they always represent us well in competition and in all community events. Great job!

- Bell Police Department statistics for the week of September 25, 2017 is attached.

**Community Services**

- Camp Little Bear Park hosted Movies under the Stars Night on Friday, September 29, 2017 and screened *Toy Story 3*.

- The new fall session of ballet began on Monday, October 2nd at Camp Little Bear Park and classes are at capacity.

- The second session of Insanity classes also began on October 2nd at Veterans’ Memorial Park.
• The first School Liaison meeting was held on Wednesday, October 4th at the Bell Community Center. Principals and representatives from four different schools attended the meeting. The school administrators were provided with information on programs, services, and important dates for volunteer opportunities. The meeting was well received by those present.

• On Monday, October 9th, the Halloween volunteer orientation took place at Veterans’ Memorial Park. There were about thirty volunteers present at the meeting.

• 110 youth concluded the Chupones soccer program on Saturday, October 7, 2017.

• Youth Soccer ended the season with finals during the week of October 9 – 15.

• Thirty (30) seniors enjoyed a day at the Long Beach Aquarium on Wednesday, October 4, 2017.

Finance

• Notices were sent out to Hotel/Motel owners notifying them to submit TOT Taxes by the end of October 2017.

• Annual expenditures for Prop A, Prop C, and Measure R were submitted to Metro on October 11, 2017 (deadline was October 15, 2017).

• Annual Report for Public Safety Augmentation Fund was submitted to the County of Los Angeles Auditor Controller Office on October 12, 2017 (deadline is October 31, 2017).

• The annual audit is in progress with the first draft of the Financial Report to be sent to the Finance Director for a review by the end of October.

• The Finance Director is working with David Brodsly, Financial Advisor from KNN, to evaluate the performance of potential underwriters to be part of the Bond Refinancing Team. A staff report will be presented to the Council at the October 25 Council meeting.
I. **AUMA**
   a. About fifty-two percent (52%) of Bell residents voted in favor of legalizing recreational marijuana in the November 2016 election.
   b. Special Planning Commission meeting will take place on Wednesday, October 18, 2017 in the Bell Chambers to discuss the draft ordinance of marijuana regulations in the city.
   c. Group is strongly against allowing dispensaries in the city. However, if Council decides to approve manufacturing, the group would like to have these establishments far away from the residential area. The group suggests that all monies go toward paying the City’s long-term debt.

II. **City Bonds**
   a. The City is refinancing bonds through KNN Financial.
   b. Staff will present Council with the recommendation for bond counsel and underwriter at the October 25th Council meeting.

III. **City Attorney Fee Increase**
   a. City Attorney's contract is available online.
   b. Aleshire and Wynder, LLC has been with the City for six years and has not asked for a fee increase since the beginning of their contract.

IV. **SCE light poles**
   a. Group does not think is a good idea for the City to purchase the light poles from SCE because of the expenses that will incur with maintenance and insurance liability.
   b. City Manager assured the group that staff has consulted with the City's Engineers to make sure the deal is cost-effective.
   c. City Manager explained that the deal will bring new net revenue to the City.
   d. City Manager spoke to group about SB 649, a bill that proposed installation of high-speed “small cell” equipment in California but would downsize the role played by the city and county officials in setting limits on where the equipment would be placed.*

V. **Next meeting** will be Tuesday, November 28, 2017 at 9:00 a.m. in the Finance Conference Room at City Hall.

---

*Governor Jerry Brown vetoed SB 649 on October 15, 2017*
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) proposes to adopt California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 22, Division 4.5, sections 66264.90, 66264.110, 66264.121, 66265.90, 66265.110, 66265.121, 66270.1, 66270.14, and 66270.28, pursuant to Senate Bill No. 1325 (de León), approved by Governor Brown and filed with the Secretary of State on September 26, 2016.

PUBLIC WORKSHOP

DTSC will hold one public workshop on the proposed regulation at the following time and location:

DATE: November 2, 2017
TIME: 2:00PM – 4:00PM PDT
LOCATION: 8800 Cal Center Drive
Sacramento, California 95826
Board Room
WEBCAST LINK: https://video.calepa.ca.gov/

At the time and location listed above, any person(s) may ask questions about the proposed rule and a workshop setting. The workshop will convene at 2:00PM PDT and will remain open until 4:00PM PDT, or until attendees have no further questions, whichever occurs first. Attendees are also welcome to attend the public hearing to have questions or comments on record.
PUBLIC HEARING

DTSC will hold a public hearing on the proposed regulation at the following time and location:

**DATE:** December 1, 2017
**TIME:** 2:00PM – 4:00PM PDT, or until attendee testimony concludes, whichever occurs first.
**LOCATION:** 8800 Cal Center Drive
Sacramento, California 95826
Board Room

**WEBCAST LINK:** [https://video.calepa.ca.gov/](https://video.calepa.ca.gov/)

At the time and location listed above, any person(s) may present statements or arguments, orally or in writing, relevant to this proposal described in the Informative Digest. The public hearing will convene at 2:00PM PDT and will remain open until 4:00PM PDT, or until no attendees present testimony, whichever occurs first.

Representatives of DTSC will preside at the hearing. DTSC requests persons who wish to speak to please register before the hearing. Pre-hearing registration is conducted at the location of the hearing from 1:00PM PDT until the hearing commences. Registered persons will be heard in the order of their registration. Anyone else wishing to speak at the hearing will have an opportunity after all registered persons have been heard.

All visitors are required to sign in upon arrival to the Sacramento DTSC Regional Office at the administration desk on the first floor of the building. Please ask the guard at the security desk for directions. Be sure to allow adequate time to sign in and receive a visitor badge before the public hearing begins.

NOTICE PERTAINING TO ACCESSIBILITY & REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION

All documents related to this regulation can be made available in alternate format (e.g. Braille, large print, etc.) or in another language, as requested, in accordance with State and Federal law. Further, to ensure the public has equal access to all available services and information, DTSC will provide disability related reasonable accommodations and/or translator/interpreter needs, upon request. For assistance, please contact the following staff person as soon as possible, preferably no later than 10 business days prior to the scheduled hearing:

Ms. Litiana Patino
Department of Toxic Substances Control
P.O. Box 806
Sacramento, California 95812-0806
Litiana.Patino@dtsc.ca.gov
Phone: (916) 324-3095

TTY/TDD/Speech-to-Speech users may dial “7-1-1” for the California Relay Service.
WRITTEN COMMENT PERIOD

A 45-day public comment period for this rulemaking file, as described above, will commence on October 13, 2017 and close on December 1, 2017 at 11:59 p.m. PDT. During this time, DTSC will accept statements, arguments, or contentions and/or supporting documents regarding this rulemaking that must be submitted in writing, or may be presented orally or in writing at the public hearing. Comments must be received by the deadline in order for them to be considered before DTSC adopts, amends, or repeals these regulations.

Any interested person(s) or their authorized representative(s) may submit written comments relevant to the proposed regulatory action to DTSC in either electronic or hard-copy formats.

Written comments may be submitted electronically through the DTSC regulations email address at mary.gaspari@dtsc.ca.gov or please direct hard-copy written comments to:

Ms. Mary Gaspari  
Engineering Geologist  
Department of Toxic Substances Control  
8800 Cal Center Drive  
Sacramento, California 95826  
**Attn: Postclosure Rule**  
E–Mail: mary.gaspari@dtsc.ca.gov  
Fax Number: (916) 255-3734

AUTHORITY & REFERENCE

Authority  
These regulations are being adopted under the following authorities:

Senate Bill No. 1325 (de León), approved by Governor Brown and filed with the Secretary of State on September 26, 2016, which amended Section 25247 of the Health and Safety Code.

Reference  
These regulations implement, interpret, or make specific the following statutes:

- Health and Safety Code sections 25150 and 25159. These sections require DTSC to adopt, and revise when appropriate, standards and regulations for the management of hazardous wastes to protect against hazards to the public health, to domestic livestock, to wildlife, or to the environment.
- Health and Safety Code sections 25245. This section requires DTSC to adopt, and revise when appropriate, standards and regulations that specify financial assurances for owner and operators of hazardous waste facilities that close or leave hazardous waste in place for long-term containment and monitoring.
• Health and Safety Code sections 58012. This section allows DTSC to adopt and enforce rules and regulations for the carrying out of DTSC's duties.
• Health and Safety Code sections 25247(f) (Stats. 2016, c. 676 (SB 1325) §1.5, eff. 1/1/17)). This section allows DTSC to use enforcement agreements or orders to impose postclosure plan requirements until January 1, 2009.

INFORMATIVE DIGEST/POLICY STATEMENT OVERVIEW

Policy Statement Overview
Senate Bill No. 1325 (de León), approved by Governor Brown, and filed with Secretary of State on September 26, 2016, amended Section 25247 of the Health and Safety Code. This Senate Bill restores the authority of DTSC to impose postclosure requirements through an enforcement order or an enforceable agreement and requires DTSC, on or before January 1, 2018, to adopt regulations to impose postclosure plan requirements.

The proposed draft rulemaking incorporates select text and concepts based on the Federal Post-Closure Rule of 1998. The proposed rule will provide flexibility for DTSC to use enforceable documents to authorize hazardous waste postclosure activities at hazardous waste facilities subject to postclosure care.

Proposed regulation
The proposed rulemaking would provide flexibility for DTSC to use enforceable documents such as agreements or orders at facilities with hazardous waste left in place that need or already have a hazardous waste postclosure facility permit. The rule allows the use of alternative mechanisms, such as an order, a plan, or other document issued consistent with a corrective action order or a remedial action order issued pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25187 or 25355.5(a)(1)(B). The ability to use enforceable documents provides flexibility and allows DTSC to use the appropriate authority available at all facilities. DTSC believes this approach will provide environmental results and eliminate redundant processes.

Anticipated Benefits
Pursuant to the proposed regulations, DTSC is allowed flexibility to issue an enforcement mechanism in lieu of a postclosure permit as long as the enforcement mechanism is adequately protective of human health and the environment, and the Federal and State requirements, including this new rule, are met. Cost of managing waste in place at each facility varies. Facilities currently subject to hazardous waste permitting requirements are regulated by DTSC. Most, if not all, facilities where the proposed regulations could be applied have been documented by DTSC and DTSC anticipates minimal application/demonstration costs.

The proposed regulation would improve the efficiency and effectiveness of DTSC's process to impose postclosure care requirements with well-known and widely used tools. Enforcement orders and other enforceable documents are commonly used to require and assure compliance with other Federal and State hazardous waste control requirements, and to mitigate environmental risks at regulated facilities. In certain circumstances, the
enforcement orders and other enforceable documents will provide a more efficient and effective mechanism to impose postclosure care requirements. In particular, when a facility has closed, the former owner or operator may not have the resources or incentive to promptly provide a complete application for a postclosure permit, which can significantly delay the permit process. In addition, if the facility closed because it could not meet all applicable requirements, particularly those for groundwater monitoring or financial assurance, DTSC may not be able to make the required compliance findings to approve and issue the postclosure permit.

Summary of Existing Statutes and Regulations
The proposal would amend CCR, Title 22, Division 4.5. Environmental Health Standards for the Management of Hazardous Waste, Sections 66264.90, 66264.110, 6264.121, 6265.90, 6265.110, 6265.121, 6270.1, 6270.14, and 6270.28. These regulations govern the applicability and performance standards for owners and operators of hazardous waste transfer, treatment, storage and disposal facilities.

All citations are to provisions of CCR, Title 22, Division 4.5. These regulations address hazardous waste permitted facilities (Chapter 14) and interim status facilities that have never received full authorization or a postclosure permit (Chapter 15). In general, Chapter 14 standards are quite similar, but not equivalent to, Chapter 15 standards. Often, the proposed revision found in Chapter 14 has a nearly identical revision proposed in Chapter 15, and both share the same basis for the proposed change. Changes to Chapter 14 for permitted facilities are discussed below. Changes to Chapter 15 for interim status facilities follow the Chapter 14 discussion.

Relation to Existing Federal Regulations
The proposed draft rulemaking allows use of alternate mechanisms for facilities that were formerly permitted or never received a permit. DTSC believes this flexibility allows DTSC to use the appropriate authority available at all facilities. This choice may be based on many factors, including the specific conditions at the facility, availability of approved alternative cleanup authorities, and recalcitrance of the facility. DTSC believes this approach will provide environmental results and eliminate redundant processes without compromising enforceability for DTSC or for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

The proposed regulation is not "broader in scope" than a Federal requirement and would not impede the scope of EPA’s enforcement at permitted or previously permitted facilities. The ability to use an alternative enforcement mechanism, rather than a permit, is not a State requirement that "increase(s) the size of the regulated community or universe of waste beyond what is covered by the Federal program through either directly enforceable (i.e., independent) requirements or certain conditions for exclusion." (See, EPA OSWER Doc: Determining Whether State Hazardous Waste Requirements are More Stringent or Broader in Scope than the Federal RCRA Program (12/23/2014).)

For postclosure care, the requirement to conduct postclosure care does not lapse until the facility clean closes or removes all contamination at the facility. Any expiration of a permit or State failure to issue a permit is irrelevant. EPA retains authority to initiate an
enforcement action or issue a new permit pursuant to 40 C.F.R. section 270.51(c). (See also, EPA OSWER Guidelines for Evaluating the Post-Closure Care Period for Hazardous Waste Disposal Facilities under Subtitle C of RCRA.) In short, DTSC is simply seeking a means of adding a legally permissible remedy for addressing environmental problems already sanctioned in the Federal Post-Closure Rule.

DTSC uses alternative enforcement mechanisms at these sites for a number of reasons including our ability to address non-RCRA releases, seek cost recovery, and compelling other responsible parties, such as generators, to carry out response or remedial activities. In these cases, DTSC has made the decision that alternative enforcement mechanisms and statutory authorities are the preferred tool to seek superior environmental outcomes. DTSC is seeking to remove the redundant process of a postclosure permit that would be exclusively an administrative activity. In all these cases, the RCRA permitting requirements would not be terminated, but deferred while DTSC pursues response actions under enforcement or other authorities. This is analogous to the actions that EPA takes at Superfund sites. DTSC is aware of other states that have applied the alternative enforcement mechanism to facilities that previously had a permit.

Relation to Existing State Regulations
EPA and DTSC have encountered two major difficulties when issuing postclosure permits. First, some facilities chose to close, or are forced to close, because they cannot comply with permitting requirements, particularly groundwater monitoring and financial assurance. If a facility cannot meet these requirements, DTSC cannot issue a permit to a facility that is not in compliance with applicable requirements at the time of permit issuance. (Health & Saf. Code, § 25200; see also, 42 U.S.C. § 6925(c).) Second, owners or operators often have little incentive to seek a postclosure permit because the facility is not operating and is not generating any revenue. Without a strong incentive on the part of the facility owner or operator to provide a complete application, the permitting process can be significantly protracted.

To address environmental risk at facilities, DTSC uses a variety of legal authorities, including permits, enforceable orders and other enforceable documents. Prior to this rule, DTSC was still required to issue a postclosure permit even where the environmental risks associated with the facility were addressed through other authorities.

The Federal Post-Closure Rule limits the use of alternate mechanisms to facilities that have not received permits. (63 Fed. Reg. 56710, 56717 (10/22/98).) With this draft proposed rulemaking, DTSC is not limiting the use of alternate mechanisms to non-permitted facilities because about ten facilities (Permitting EnviroStor; March 2017) are operating under expired postclosure permits or are already subject to an agreement or order that would require amendment to include postclosure requirements. DTSC believes this flexibility provided by the rule allows DTSC to use the appropriate authority available at all facilities. This choice may be based on many factors, including the specific conditions at the facility, availability of approved alternative cleanup authorities, and recalcitrance of the facility. DTSC believes this approach will provide environmental results and eliminate redundant processes without compromising enforceability for DTSC or for EPA.
Evaluation of Inconsistency or Incompatibility With Existing State Regulations
DTSC has reviewed existing state regulations and evaluated whether the proposed regulations are inconsistent or incompatible with existing state regulations. DTSC has determined that the proposed regulations are the only state regulations governing the use of alternative enforcement mechanisms such as orders in lieu of postclosure permits. Therefore, the proposed regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations.

DISCLOSURE REGARDING THE PROPOSED ACTION

MANDATES ON LOCAL AGENCIES OR SCHOOL DISTRICTS
DTSC determined that the proposed changes to these regulations will not impose a local mandate on local agencies or local school districts.

OTHER NON-DISCRETIONARY COST OR SAVINGS IMPOSED ON LOCAL AGENCIES
There are no other nondiscretionary cost or savings imposed on local agencies.

COST TO ANY LOCAL AGENCIES OR SCHOOL DISTRICT REQUIRING REIMBURSEMENT PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SEC. 17500 et seq.
The proposed regulations are not anticipated to result in any cost to local agencies or school districts requiring reimbursement pursuant to Government Code section 17500 et seq.

COST OR SAVINGS IN FEDERAL FUNDING TO THE STATE
DTSC does not anticipate any changes to Federal funds that the State of California receives. DTSC does not receive any Federal funds for water pollution control.

DETERMINATION OF NO SIGNIFICANT STATEWIDE ECONOMIC IMPACT
DTSC has made an initial determination, found through the economic impact assessment, that the proposed regulation will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California business to compete with businesses in other states.

COST IMPACTS ON REPRESENTATIVE PRIVATE PERSONS OR BUSINESSES
The cost of managing waste in place at each facility varies. Facilities currently subject to hazardous waste permitting requirements are regulated by DTSC. Most, if not all, facilities where the proposed regulations could be applied have been documented by DTSC and DTSC anticipates minimal application/demonstration costs.

The number of hazardous waste owners/operators affected by the proposed regulation is small, approximately 21 facilities. Although the total fiscal impact to facilities is unknown, a small cost savings is possible due to fewer required administrative activities associated with orders relative to permits.
EFFECT ON SMALL BUSINESSES
The hazardous waste facilities, including some small businesses, which could be subject to the proposed regulations are already regulated by DTSC. The number of the affected facilities is small, approximately 21 facilities. DTSC anticipates minimal application/demonstration costs to be incurred by the facilities as a result of these regulations. In fact, some cost savings for the facilities is possible due to fewer required regulatory or administrative activities associated with issuance of enforcement documents as opposed to issuance of postclosure permits. DTSC has determined that the proposed regulations would not impact small businesses.

EFFECT ON HOUSING COSTS
DTSC determined that the proposed changes to the regulations will not impact housing costs.

RESULTS OF THE ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS
Pursuant to the proposed regulations, DTSC is allowed flexibility to issue an enforcement mechanism in lieu of a postclosure permit as long as the enforcement mechanism is adequately protective of human health and the environment and the Federal and State requirements, including this new rule, are met. Costs of managing waste in place at each facility varies. Facilities currently subject to hazardous waste permitting requirements are regulated by DTSC. Most, if not all, facilities where the proposed regulations could be applied have been documented by DTSC and DTSC anticipates minimal application/demonstration costs.

The proposed regulations do not affect local or Federal regulatory agencies. DTSC currently has sole oversight responsibility for all facilities subject to the proposed regulations and is the only State agency affected by the proposal. Increased workload for preparation and oversight of orders and enforceable documents would be offset by the reduction in permitting activities at land disposal sites. Affected facilities would pay costs associated with issuance of the enforceable documents in lieu of permit fees. DTSC anticipates approximately 21 postclosure care sites are candidates to be affected by this rule.

Due to the small number of hazardous waste facilities that may be potentially affected by the proposed regulations and the relatively small difference in costs incurred by the facilities or by DTSC, DTSC has determined that the proposed regulations would not have any impact on (1) the creation or elimination of jobs within the State of California; (2) the creation of new business or the elimination of existing business in the State of California; or (3) the expansion of business currently doing business with the State of California.

CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES
DTSC must determine that no reasonable alternative it considered or that has otherwise been identified and brought to its attention would be more effective in carrying out the purpose which the action is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action, or would be more cost-effective to
affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

The recommended alternative allows DTSC to use orders or other enforceable documents to be issued to owners and operators of hazardous waste management units subject to postclosure care or permit requirements in lieu of a proposed or existing postclosure permit. In the event the rule does not go into effect, Senate Bill No. 1325 would not be met as the postclosure regulation is required to be in place on or before January 1, 2018.

OTHER APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS PRESCRIBED BY STATUTE

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) COMPLIANCE

After a preliminary evaluation DTSC determined a Notice of Exemption pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 14, section 15061(b)(3), is applicable to fulfill CEQA requirements.

CONTACTS

Inquiries regarding technical aspects of the proposed regulation or CEQA documents may be directed to Ms. Mary Gaspari at (916) 255-6423 or by email at mary.gaspari@dtsc.ca.gov. If Ms. Gaspari is not available, contact Peter Bailey at (916) 255-6552 or by email at peter.bailey@dtsc.ca.gov. If, however, both project managers are unavailable, you may contact Ms. Jackie Buttle at (916) 255-3730 or regs@dtsc.ca.gov. Note: oral inquiries are not part of the official rulemaking record.

AVAILABILITY OF TEXT OF PROPOSED REGULATIONS, INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS, and RULEMAKING RECORD

Copies of the Notice of Proposed Action, Initial Statement of Reasons, the text of the proposed regulations, all the information upon which its proposal is based, and the express terms of the proposed regulation will be posted to DTSC’s Internet site at http://www.dtsc.ca.gov/LawsRegsPolicies/Regs/index.cfm, or may be obtained from Ms. Jackie Buttle, Regulations Coordinator, as specified below.

AVAILABILITY OF MODIFIED TEXT; AVAILABILITY OF FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

After the close of the comment period, DTSC may adopt the proposed regulation. If substantial changes are made, the modified full text will be made available for comment for no less than 15 days prior to adoption. Only persons who specifically request notification of any modifications to the regulations, attend the hearing, submit oral or written comments at the public hearing, or provide written comments on the specific regulation will be sent a copy of the modified text if substantive changes are made.

Once the regulation has been adopted, DTSC will prepare a Final Statement of Reasons which updates the Initial Statement of Reasons, summarizes how DTSC addressed
comments, and includes other materials required by Government Code § 11346.9. Copies of the Final Statement of Reasons may also be obtained from the Regulations Coordinator at the address listed below. A copy of the Final Statement of Reasons, along with the date the rulemaking is filed with the Secretary of State, and the effective date of the regulation will also be posted on DTSC’s Internet site at: http://www.dtsc.ca.gov/LawsRegsPolicies/Regs/index.cfm.

To be included in this regulation package’s mailing list and to receive updates of this rulemaking, please visit http://www.dtsc.ca.gov/ContactDTSC/ELists.cfm and subscribe to the applicable eList, or, to subscribe directly, e–mail: regs@dtsc.ca.gov.

Ms. Jackie Buttle  
Regulations Coordinator  
Office of Planning and Environmental Analysis  
Department of Toxic Substances Control  
8800 Cal Center Drive  
Sacramento, California 95826

E–mail address: regs@dtsc.ca.gov  
Fax number: (916) 255-3734  
Phone number: (916) 255-3730

If Ms. Buttle is unavailable, please contact Ms. Gaspari at (916) 255-6423 or by email at mary.gaspari@dtsc.ca.gov.
City of Bell
Front Counter Report – August 2017

City Hall had 180 recorded visits in the reception kiosk for August. Community Development Department had a total of 154 visits accounting for 86% of the total City Hall visits followed by Administration Department and Finance Department.

Building and Planning Division received most of the visits for the Community Development Department followed by Code Enforcement, Engineering, and Public Works.

Figure 1

Figure 2
The top three reasons for visits in the Community Development Department were Building Plan Check, Permit Issuance, and Code Enforcement.

The Administration Department had a total of 20 visits in August. Over fifty percent of the visits were for the City Manager’s office followed by Human Resources & Risk Management, and City Clerk.
Lastly, the Finance Department had six (6) recorded visits in August. Most of the visits were regarding general information and business licenses matters.

Source: Sharepoint System/City of Bell Intranet
Report Created: October 2017
By: City Manager’s Office
City Hall had 200 visits recorded in the reception kiosk for September 2017. The majority of visits were for Community Development, accounting for 78% of total visits during that month. Finance Department had 15% of the total visits followed by Administration which had 7% of visits.

Community Development had 156 visits. Seventy-six percent (76%) of the visits pertained to Building & Planning Division followed by Code Enforcement, Engineering, and Public Works. Figure 3 details the purpose of the visits.
Administration had about 15 visits. Over half of the visits were for the City Manager's office followed by the City Council and Human Resources & Risk Management.
Finance Department reported 29 visits. Over fifty percent of the visits were due to business licenses followed by yard sale permits and general information.

![Pie chart showing the distribution of visits]

**Finance Department September 2017**

- Business License: 52%
- Yard Sale Permit: 38%
- Desk Information: 10%

**Figure 5**

End of Report

Source: Sharepoint System/City of Bell Intranet
Report Created: October 2017
By: City Manager's Office
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRIME</th>
<th>09/25/17-10/01/17</th>
<th>09/18/17-09/24/17</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>YTD-17</th>
<th>YTD-16</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Homicide</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robbery</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-100%</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggravated Assault</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-50%</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>-21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple Assault</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burglary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-83%</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>-25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larceny</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>-23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Theft</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>-3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arson</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part 1 Crimes Totals</strong></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-50%</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>-13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcotics Arrests</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>-50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUI Arrests</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-67%</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>-54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/C Non-Injury</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>200%</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>-31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/C Injury</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/C Fatal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citations</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-4%</td>
<td>1322</td>
<td>2419</td>
<td>-45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Incidents</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>21483</td>
<td>23817</td>
<td>-10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graffiti</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>-45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>